

with  $\omega \in \Omega_p$  and  $d\psi = k - 1$ . Since  $d\psi = \sum d(\psi_i) i = 1, \dots, p$  we must have  $d(\psi_i) > 0$  for some  $i$ . Without loss of generality, assume that  $d(\psi_p) > 0$ .

Finding  $\bar{P}$  is equivalent to finding the minimal solution of the system of equations

$$X = XP \quad (17.1)$$

in subsets  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  of  $A_0$ . The equation (17.1) may be rewritten as

$$X = XR + (X\psi_1, \dots, X\psi_p)\omega M, \quad (17.2)$$

where  $\psi_i = \psi_i$ .

We now consider the system of  $n + 1$  equations with  $n + 1$  unknowns as follows:

$$X = XR + (X\psi_1, \dots, X\psi_{p-1}, X_{n+1})\omega M \quad (17.3)$$

$$X_{n+1} = X\psi_p,$$

where  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ . It is clear that if  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is the minimal solution of (17.2), then  $(X_1, \dots, X_n, X_{n+1})$  with  $X_{n+1} = X\psi_p$  is the minimal solution of (17.3).

The right-hand side of (17.3) yields a polynomial  $Q: [n + 1] \rightarrow [n + 1]$  whose constituents are: ( $1^\circ$ ) compositions

$$I \xrightarrow{\gamma} [n] \xrightarrow{f} [n + 1],$$

where  $\gamma$  is a constituent of  $P$  different from  $\phi$  and  $f$  is an inclusion; ( $2^\circ$ ) morphisms  $\tau: I \rightarrow [n + 1]$  satisfying  $0 < d\tau < k$ . By the above,  $\bar{Q}_i = \bar{P}_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

An iteration of the above procedure yields the conclusion of Proposition 2.

## 18. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

Let

$$Q_i = \{\phi \mid \phi: I \rightarrow \emptyset, i \in \zeta_A \phi\}.$$

Then  $Q_i \subset A_0$  and we wish to show that  $Q = \bar{P}$  where  $Q = (Q_1, \dots, Q_n)$ . We first show that  $\bar{P} \subset Q$ . For this, it suffices to show that  $QP \subset Q$ ; i.e., that

$$(Q_1, \dots, Q_n)\phi \subset Q_i \text{ whenever } \phi \in P_i.$$

Let  $\phi \in P_i$ . Then  $\phi$  is the composition

$$I \xrightarrow{\omega} [p] \xrightarrow{z} [n]$$

with  $\omega \in \Omega_p$ ,  $x$  is a mapping in  $S_0$  and

$$i \in (x_1, \dots, x_p)\omega.$$

Thus we must show that

$$(Q_{x_1}, \dots, Q_{x_p})\omega \subset Q_i. \quad (18.1)$$

Let then

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_j &\in Q_{x_j} \quad j = 1, \dots, p \\ \psi &= (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_p): [p] \rightarrow \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $x_j \in \zeta_A \psi_j$  for  $j = 1, \dots, p$ , and

$$i \in (x_1, \dots, x_p)\omega \subset (\zeta_A \psi_1, \dots, \zeta_A \psi_p)\omega = \zeta_A(\psi\omega).$$

Consequently,  $\psi\omega \in Q_i$ , so that (18.1) holds.

To show the opposite inclusion, we must prove that (18.2) if  $\phi: I \rightarrow \emptyset$  and  $i \in \zeta_A \phi$ , then  $\phi \in \bar{P}_i$ .

This will be done by induction with respect to the degree of  $\phi$ . First let  $d\phi = 1$ . Then  $\phi \in \Omega_0$  and  $i \in \phi_A$ . Then the composition

$$I \xrightarrow{\phi} \emptyset \rightarrow [n]$$

is in  $P_i$  so that  $\phi = \phi_{A_0} \in \emptyset P_i$ . Thus  $\phi \in \bar{P}_i$  as required.

Now assume  $d\phi = k > 1$ . Let

$$I \xrightarrow{\omega} [p] \xrightarrow{z} [n]$$

be the factorization of  $\phi$  with  $\omega \in \Omega_p$  and  $d\psi = k - 1 > 0$ . Then  $p > 0$ . We have

$$i \in \zeta_A \phi = \zeta_A \psi\omega = \zeta_A(\psi_1, \dots, \psi_p)\omega = (\zeta_A \psi_1, \dots, \zeta_A \psi_p)\omega.$$

Let then

$$x: [p] \rightarrow [n] = A \text{ in } S_0$$

be such that

$$x_j \in \zeta_A \psi_j \quad j = 1, \dots, p \quad (18.3)$$

$$i \in (x_1, \dots, x_p)\omega. \quad (18.4)$$