

laboratoire de recherche en informatique et automatique

# ON THE UNIFORM HALTING PROBLEM FOR TERM REWRITING SYSTEMS

Gérard HUET
Dallas LANKFORD

Rapport de Recherche N° 283 Mars 1978

Institut de Recherche d'Informatique et d'Automatique

Domaine de Voluceau Rocquencourt B.P. 105.78150 - Le Chesnay France Tél. 954.9020

ON THE UNIFORM HALTING PROBLEM FOR TERM REWRITING SYSTEM Gérard Huet*, Dallas Lankford**	IS
Résumé :	
On montre que le problème de l'arrêt uniforme des systèmes de réécriture de termes est indéc ble de degré 0", même en se restreignant aux symboles de fonction monadiques. On montre par contre ce problème est décidable pour les termes sans variables.	

We show that the uniform halting problem for term rewriting systems is undecidable of degree O",

even when terms are restricted to monadic function symbols. We also show that the uniform hal-

ting problem for ground term rewriting systems is decidable.

#### \* IRIA/LABORIA

Abstract:

#### I. Introduction

Let T be the set of terms of a first-order logic. A term rewriting system over T is a finite set:

$$R = \{\langle \gamma_i, \delta_i \rangle | 1 \leq i \leq N \} \text{ with } \forall i \leq N V(\delta_i) \subset V(\gamma_i)$$

where V(t) denotes the set of variables appearing in term t.

We define, in the same way as in  $\lceil 2 \rceil$ , the relation  $\Rightarrow$  over T as the smallest relation containing R and closed by :

- a) for every substitution  $\sigma$   $t \neq t' \Longrightarrow \sigma(t) \neq \sigma(t')$
- b)  $t_i \stackrel{\rightarrow}{R} \stackrel{t_i^{\dagger}}{\Longrightarrow} Ft_1 \cdots t_n \stackrel{\rightarrow}{R} Ft_1 \cdots t_{i-1} t_i^{\dagger} t_{i+1} \cdots t_n$ for any function symbol F of arity n.

In the following we abbreviate 3 by ..

A term t is said to be immortal in R iff there exists an infinitesequence starting from t:

$$t = t_0 \rightarrow t_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow t_n \rightarrow \cdots$$

If no term t is immortal in R, we say that R is noetherian. The uniform halting problem for R is to determine whether or not R is noetherian.

In order to do that, we shall show how to map this problem into the uniform halting problem for Turing machines.

## 2. The construction of R<sub>M</sub>

Let M be any Turing machine, with input alphabet  $\Sigma = \{s_0, s_1, \cdots, s_n\}$  and state alphabet  $Q = \{q_0, q_1, \cdots, q_n\}$ .

We assume  $s_0 = *$ , the blank symbol.

We consider the set  $T_{\rm M}$  of terms built from the following function symbols :

$$F_1 = \{\dot{S}_0, \dots, \dot{S}_n, \dot{S}_0, \dots, \dot{S}_n, Q_0, \dots, Q_n, L\}$$

and  $F_0 = \{R\}$ . All symbols in  $F_1$  have arity 1, R has arity 0. We shall write the terms in  $T_M$  as strings over  $F_1^*(F_0 \cup \{x\})$ .

Let I be an instantaneous description of machine M:

$$I = \langle w_1, q_i, w_2 \rangle$$

where  $w_1 \epsilon \Sigma^*$  is the contents of the tape to the left of the head,  $w_2 \epsilon \Sigma^*$  is the

contents of the tape to the right of the head, q is the current state (we assume, as usual, the tape blank everywhere except in a finite portion). We code I by the term:

$$t_T = L \overrightarrow{w}_1 Q_i \overrightarrow{w}_2 R \in T_M$$

Example: With  $I = (s_1 s_2, q_2, s_1 s_4)$ ,

corresponding to the configuration:

we get :

$$t_1 = L \dot{S}_1 \dot{S}_2 Q_2 \dot{S}_1 \dot{S}_4 R.$$

Let us now show how to code the program of M with a term rewriting system  $R_{M}$  over  $T_{M}$ .

For any right-moving instruction of M:

If j=0 we add also the rule:

$$\langle Q_i R, \overrightarrow{S}_k Q_\ell R \rangle$$
,

corresponding to reading a new portion of tape to the right.

For any left-moving instruction of M:

"in state  $q_i$  reading  $s_j$ , write  $s_k$  and go left in state  $q_\ell$  "we put in  $R_M$  the rules :

 $\langle \vec{S}_{m} Q_{i} \vec{S}_{j} x, Q_{\ell} \vec{S}_{m} \vec{S}_{k} x \rangle$  for all m,  $0 \leq m \leq n$ , and  $\langle L Q_{i} \vec{S}_{i} x, L Q_{\ell} \vec{S}_{0} \vec{S}_{k} x \rangle$ .

If j=0 we add also the rules:

 $\langle \vec{S}_m Q_i R, Q_l \vec{S}_m \vec{S}_k R \rangle$  for all m,  $0 \le m \le n$ ,

and  $\langle L Q_i R, L Q_i \stackrel{\xi}{S}_0 \stackrel{\xi}{S}_k R \rangle$ .

The construction of  $R_{M}$  is such that

$$I \underset{M}{\rightarrow} I' \Leftrightarrow t_{\overline{I} \underset{M}{\rightarrow}} t_{\overline{I}}'.$$

From this follows immediately:

Lemma 1: If the instantaneous description I of M is immortal, then the term  $t_{\rm I}$  is immortal in  $R_{\rm M}$ .

(We recall that an instantaneous description of a Turing machine M is immortal iff starting with it M will never halt).

#### Corollary

The problem of determining, given R and t, whether t is immortal in R, is undecidable of degree 0'.

#### Proof:

The halting problem for M on input x reduces to determining whether  $t_{\rm I}$  is immortal in  $R_{\rm M}$ , where I is the initial instantaneous description of M with tape x.

Note that the converse of lemma 1 holds also. However, this is too weak to give an answer to the uniform halting problem, since there are terms in  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{M}}$  which do not code an instantaneous description. The next section will show how to get a stronger converse.

## 3. Equivalence of the uniform halting problems of M and RM

Let us introduce the notation:

$$\dot{S} = \{\dot{S}_0, \dots, \dot{S}_n\}$$

$$\dot{S} = \{\dot{S}_0, \dots, \dot{S}_n\}$$

$$\dot{Q} = \{Q_0, \dots, Q_n\}$$

Lemma 2: If a term t is immortal in  $R_{\rm M}$ , M possesses some immortal instantaneous description.

## Proof:

Any word t in  $T_M$  may be written as:

We assume furthermore that the vis are maximal, i.e.  $u_i$  does not end with an  $\dot{S}_k$  ( $1 \le i \le q$ ), and  $u_i$  does not begin with an  $\dot{S}_k$  ( $2 \le i \le q+1$ ).

This claim is easy to establish, by cases on the rule used to derive t into t'.

Now, if t is immortal, there must exist a  $j \le q$  whose  $v_j$  is rewritten infinitely often, and therefore such that  $Lv_jR$  is immortal in  $R_M$ .  $Lv_jR$  is the code of an instantaneous description of M which is immortal by lemma 1.

Combining lemma 1 and lemma 2, we get that  $R_{\underline{M}}$  is noetherian iff M has no immortal instantaneous description. This second problem being undecidable of degree  $\theta$ ", using the results in Herman [1], we get:

#### Theorem 1

The uniform halting problem for term rewriting systems is undecidable of degree 0", even for terms restricted to monadic function symbols.

#### Remark:

In [3] it is claimed, without proof, that the uniform halting problem is undecidable, even when  $|R| \le 3$ .

We shall show in the next section that the uniform halting problem is decidable for ground term rewriting systems.

### 4. Ground term rewriting systems

We shall now restrict ourselves to the case where T consists only of ground terms, i.e. with no variables. A ground term rewriting system is a finite set:

$$R = \{\langle v_1, \delta_i \rangle | 1 \le i \le N\} \quad \forall i \le N \quad V(\gamma_i) = V(\delta_i) = \emptyset.$$

#### Lemma 3 :

If t is immortal in R, there exists is such that  $\delta_i$  is immortal in R.

Proof: By induction on t.

Let  $t = t_0 + t_1 + t_2 + \cdots$  be an infinite  $\rightarrow$  sequence, and let  $u_i \in \mathbb{N}_+^*$  be the occurrence of  $t_i$  which is reduced at step i.

If  $\exists k \geq 0$  such that  $u_k = \Lambda$  (the null, or top occurrence) then  $t_{k+1} = \delta_k$  is immortal.

Otherwise, the sequence is internal, and let F be the common leading function symbol of all t's, p its arity:  $t_i = Ft_i^l \cdots t_i^p \quad \forall i \geq 0$ . For every j,  $1 \leq j \leq p$ , let  $I_j = \{i \mid u_i \text{ begins with } j\}$ . At least one of the  $I_j$ 's is infinite, let us say  $I_k : I_k = \{k_1, k_2, \cdots\}$  with  $\forall i \geq 0$   $0 \leq k_i \leq k_{i+1}$ .

Then the subsequence

 $t_0^k = t_{k_1}^k \rightarrow t_{k_2}^k \rightarrow \cdots$  is an infinite  $\rightarrow$ -sequence, and the result

follows by induction hypothesis. []

#### Lemma 4:

If  $\exists i \leq N$  such that  $\delta_i$  is immortal in R, then  $\exists j \leq N$  such that  $\exists u \in O(t) \ \gamma_j \stackrel{*}{\to} t \ \& t/u = \gamma_j$ .

Proof: By induction on N = |R|.

- If N=0 this is trivially true.
- Otherwise, assume  $\delta_i$  is immortal in R :

$$\delta_i = t_0 \rightarrow t_1 \rightarrow t_2 \rightarrow \cdots$$

If the i-th rule is used in this reduction, then we can take j=i.

Otherwise,  $\delta_i$  is immortal in  $R' = R - \{ < \gamma_i, \delta_i > \}$ , and, using lemma 3, there exists  $< \gamma_k, \delta_k > \epsilon R'$  such that  $\delta_k$  is immortal in R'. By induction hypothesis, there exists  $< \gamma_j, \delta_j > \epsilon R' \subset R$  answering the condition.

### Theorem 2:

The uniform halting problem for ground term rewriting systems is decidable.

#### Proof:

Let R be a ground term rewriting system. Either R is noetherian, in which case all reductions from a given term terminate, or else using lemmas 3 and 4 there exists a rule  $\langle \gamma, \delta \rangle_{\epsilon} R$  such that  $\delta$  reduces to a term containing  $\gamma$  as a subterm. We can therefore decide the uniform halting problem for R by enumerating, level by level, all the reductions of the right sides  $\delta$ 's of R, checking for the occurrence of the corresponding left side  $\gamma$ . Note that these reduction trees are finitely branching, R being finite.

## Bibliography

- [!] G. Herman
  - Strong computability and variants of the uniform halting problem. Z. Math. Logik Grundl. Math., 17 (1971), 115-131.
- [2] G. Huet

  Confluent reductions: abstract properties and applications to term rewriting systems.

  Proceedings of 18th annual IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science, Oct. 77.
- [3] R. Lipton & L. Snyder
  On the halting of tree replacement systems.
  Conference on theoretical computer science, University of Waterloo,
  July 1977.