

Oracles, non-uniform complexity and the polynomial hierarchy.

1. **Sparse sets.** A language $S \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$ is said to be *sparse* (“creux”, en français) if there is a polynomial p such that for all n , S has at most $p(n)$ words of length n .

Show that S is sparse iff there is a polynomial q such that for all n , S has at most $p(n)$ words of length *at most* n .

2. We have seen two characterizations of the complexity class P/poly: in terms of advice functions, and in terms of boolean circuit families. We shall give here a third characterization in terms of sparse oracles.

First, show that if a language L is recognized in polynomial time by a Turing machine which has access to a sparse oracle then $L \in \text{P/poly}$.

3. Show the converse.

4. **Logarithmic advice.** The complexity class P/log is defined in a similar way as P/poly: a language A belongs to this class if there exists a problem $B \in \text{P}$, an “advice function” $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$ and a constant c such that:

(i) $|f(n)| \leq c \cdot \log n$ for all $n \geq 2$

(ii) For any word x of length n , $x \in A \Leftrightarrow \langle x, f(n) \rangle \in B$.

It turns out that logarithmic advice cannot help solve NP-complete problems in polynomial time. More precisely, $\text{NP} \subseteq \text{P/log}$ iff $\text{P} = \text{NP}$. Prove this theorem!

Hint: assuming that $\text{NP} \subseteq \text{P/log}$, show that the algorithm of Figure 5.8 (from the book *Structural Complexity, vol. I*) solves SAT in polynomial time. In that algorithm, what does c stand for? what properties of SAT have you used?

5. **The Karp-Lipton theorem.** Can polynomial advice help solve NP-complete problems in polynomial time even though logarithmic advice cannot? This is also quite unlikely, as shown by the Karp-Lipton theorem: if $\text{NP} \subseteq \text{P/poly}$ the polynomial hierarchy collapses at the second level (i.e., $\Sigma_2 = \Pi_2$). The purpose of the next three questions is to prove that theorem.

We say that a circuit C is s -good if, when given as input a boolean formula of size s , it decides whether that formula is satisfiable. Show that the set of pairs $\langle C, s \rangle$ such that C is s -good is in coNP.

Hint: use again the self-reducibility of SAT. What hypothesis on the encoding of formulas do you need?

6. Assuming that $\text{NP} \subseteq \text{P/poly}$, show that there exists a polynomial p and a circuit family (C_s) such that C_s is s -good and of size at most $p(s)$.
7. Prove the Karp-Lipton theorem. Hint: assume that $\text{NP} \subseteq \text{P/poly}$. Since Σ_2 is closed under polynomial time many-one reducibility, it suffices to show that your favourite Π_2 -complete problem is in Σ_2 .
8. Bonus question: define the complexity class NP/poly, and show that $\text{NP} \subseteq \text{P/poly}$ iff $\text{P/poly} = \text{NP/poly}$.