

THE POETICS OF  
FRAGMENTATION  
IN CONTEMPORARY BRITISH  
AND AMERICAN FICTION

Edited by  
Vanessa Guignery, *École Normale Supérieure in Lyon*  
and  
Wojciech Dług, *University of Wrocław*

Series in Literary Studies



VERNON PRESS

Copyright © 2019 by the authors.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior permission of Vernon Art and Science Inc.

[www.vernonpress.com](http://www.vernonpress.com)

*In the Americas:*  
Vernon Press  
1000 N West Street,  
Suite 1200, Wilmington,  
Delaware 19801  
United States

*In the rest of the world:*  
Vernon Press  
C/Sancti Espiritu 17,  
Malaga, 29006  
Spain

Series in Literary Studies

Library of Congress Control Number: 2019932594

ISBN: 978-1-62273-616-4

Product and company names mentioned in this work are the trademarks of their respective owners. While every care has been taken in preparing this work, neither the authors nor Vernon Art and Science Inc. may be held responsible for any loss or damage caused or alleged to be caused directly or indirectly by the information contained in it.

Every effort has been made to trace all copyright holders, but if any have been inadvertently overlooked the publisher will be pleased to include any necessary credits in any subsequent reprint or edition.

Cover's image designed by timmdesign / Freepik.  
Cover design by Vernon Press.

# Table of Contents

Acknowledgements	vii
List of figures	ix
Introduction: the art of the fragment	xi
Vanessa Guignery, <i>École Normale Supérieure in Lyon</i> Wojciech Drąg, <i>University of Wrocław</i>	
Part One	
Forms of fragmentation: past and present	1
Chapter 1 <b>What is fragmentary fiction?</b> Merritt Moseley, <i>University of North Carolina at Asheville</i>	3
Chapter 2 <b>Fragmentary writing and polyphonic narratives in twenty-first-century fiction</b> Mariano D'Ambrosio, <i>University Paris 3 – Sorbonne Nouvelle</i>	19
Chapter 3 <b>The short story: fragment and augment</b> David Malcolm, <i>SWPS University of Social Sciences and Humanities in Warsaw</i>	33
Part Two	
The fragment and the whole	45
Chapter 4 <b>The architectural fragment: ruins and totality in J. G. Ballard's fiction</b> Marcin Tereszewski, <i>University of Wrocław</i>	47
Chapter 5 <b>Fragmentary transtextuality: David Mitchell and his novel</b> Gerd Bayer, <i>University of Erlangen-Nuremberg</i>	57

Chapter 6	<b>Fragmentary writing and globalization in Ali Smith's <i>Hotel World</i></b> Alicia J. Rouverol, <i>University of Manchester</i>	67
Chapter 7	<b>Lives, etc.: fragments of lives in short stories by Julian Barnes</b> Teresa Bruś, <i>University of Wrocław</i>	81
Chapter 8	<b>"Make it new" to return as rupture and difference: a study of Jeanette Winterson's <i>The Gap of Time</i></b> Maria Antonietta Struzziero	91
Part Three		
	Fragmentation in the age of crisis	105
Chapter 9	<b>Collage manifestos: fragmentation and appropriation in David Markson's <i>This is Not a Novel</i> and David Shields's <i>Reality Hunger</i></b> Wojciech Drąg, <i>University of Wrocław</i>	107
Chapter 10	<b>Fragmentation in David Foster Wallace's fiction</b> Jarosław Hetman, <i>Nicolaus Copernicus University, Toruń</i>	123
Chapter 11	<b>Trauma and the mechanics of fragmentation in <i>Extremely Loud and Incredibly Close</i> by Jonathan Safran Foer</b> Caroline Magnin, <i>Sorbonne Université</i>	135
Part Four		
	Multimodal and multimedial fragments	147
Chapter 12	<b>Singularity, multimodality, transmediality: fragmentary future(s) of the novel?</b> Grzegorz Maziarczyk, <i>John Paul II Catholic University of Lublin</i>	149
Chapter 13	<b>From Wunderkammer fragmentation to alternative history in <i>Hexen 2.0</i> by Suzanne Treister</b> Zofia Kolbuszewska, <i>University of Wrocław</i>	161

Chapter 14	<b>Unbox the story: a look at contemporary shuffle narratives</b> Côme Martin	173
Chapter 15	<b>Fragmentation as building practice: the literary and musical collaboration between Thomas Ligotti and Current 93 for <i>In a Foreign Town, in a Foreign Land</i></b> Deborah Bridle, <i>University of Côte d'Azur</i>	185
Chapter 16	<b>Fragments of a postscript</b> Alison Gibbons, <i>Sheffield Hallam University</i>	197
Contributors		209
Index		213



## Acknowledgements

This volume is the outcome of an international conference entitled “Fragmentary Writing in Contemporary British and American Fiction,” which was held in Wrocław, Poland, on 22-23 September 2017. Organized by Prof. Vanessa Guignery and Dr. Wojciech Drąg, the conference gathered forty scholars from eleven countries. It was made possible thanks to the financial support by the Institute of English Studies at the University of Wrocław and the IHRIM research laboratory at the École Normale Supérieure in Lyon.

Our volume contains a selection of fifteen articles based on the papers presented at the conference, including two texts written by the plenary speakers – Prof. Merritt Moseley and Prof. Grzegorz Maziarczyk. The third keynote speaker, Prof. Alison Gibbons, has written the afterword. The editors wish to express their gratitude for their great contribution to the project since its inception in 2015. They also extend their thanks to Dr Marcin Tereszewski, Ewa Błasiak, Krzysztof Jański, Agata Słowik and Angelika Szopa for helping in various ways in organizing the Wrocław conference.

This publication has received the support of UMR 5317 – IHRIM (Institut d’histoire des représentations et des idées dans les modernités), under the authority of the CNRS, the ENS de Lyon, and the Universities Lumière-Lyon 2, Jean-Moulin-Lyon 3, Jean-Monnet-Saint-Etienne and Clermont Auvergne.





## List of figures

- Figure 15.1. Steven Stapleton. CD cover: “You will know it is time.” *In a Foreign Town, In a Foreign Land*, by Current 93. London: Durtro, 1997. 187
- Figure 15.2. Steven Stapleton. Book front cover: “In a Foreign Land.” *In a Foreign Town, In a Foreign Land*, by Thomas Ligotti. London: Durtro, 1997. 188
- Figure 15.3. Steven Stapleton. Book back cover: “In a Foreign Land.” *In a Foreign Town, In a Foreign Land*, by Thomas Ligotti. London: Durtro, 1997. 188



# Introduction: the art of the fragment

Vanessa Guignery,  
*École Normale Supérieure in Lyon*

Wojciech Draj,  
*University of Wrocław*

In 1966, Donald Barthelme had the narrator of his short story “See the Moon?” declare, “Fragments are the only forms I trust” (157). A number of contemporary British and American novelists could also make this statement theirs as the last few decades have seen a renewed popularity of fragmentation in works of fiction that deny completeness, linearity and coherence in favor of incompleteness, disruption and gaps. According to Hans-Jost Frey, the fragment “is not a popular subject for literary scholarship” because it “does not fulfil the presupposition of wholeness” and “cannot be controlled” (32). However, the resurgence of fragmentation at the turn of the twentieth and twenty-first centuries deserves to be examined in order to ascertain whether contemporary forms of fragmentary writing constitute a return to the modernist episteme or the fragmented literature of exhaustion of the 1960s, whether they mark a continuity with some aspects of the postmodernist aesthetics or signal a major deviation from previous structures. Additionally, the relation between the fragment and the whole needs to be reconsidered so as to determine if the two notions are mutually exclusive in contemporary fiction and if the loss of the ideal of totality and unity is viewed with nostalgia or accepted and even welcome. This volume also purports to examine whether thinking up new modes and practices of fragmentation in literature, which can accommodate multimodal and transmedial forms, might be a way for contemporary writers to reflect today’s accelerated culture of social media and overcommunication within which long-form fiction seems increasingly anachronistic. If the novel is not quite dead despite Will Self’s dogged insistence that “this time it’s for real” and that, in the digital age, “the novel is absolutely doomed to become a marginal cultural form” (qtd. in Clark), the genre is undergoing significant transformations which seem necessary if one is to overcome the “novel-nausea” that writers like Zadie Smith have expressed (Smith, “An Essay”). While the most innovative fiction some-

times veers towards the anti-novel in its extreme discontinuity (Drag) or creates hybrid genres, less experimental works rely on fragmentation to shake the novel “out of its present complacency” (Smith, *Changing My Mind* 94), mimic the fissures of the self (Gibbons, *Multimodality* 201–02) or echo the shattering effects of trauma.

### Defining the fragment

Before examining the ways in which contemporary forms of fragmentariness differ from, refurbish or repeat past models, one should start with the near-impossible task of trying to define the fragment. In *The Fragment: Towards a History and Poetics of a Performative Genre* (2004), Camelia Elias argues that “much of the appeal to the fragment relies on the fact that one can never be sure of what exactly constitutes a fragment” (2). For Frey, the fragment is “hostile to meaning and resists understanding” (25) because it cannot be accommodated into a whole: defining the fragment would amount to giving it well-delineated contours and considering it as a self-contained object, thereby disavowing its fragmentariness. Despite this proviso, critics have attempted to pinpoint some characteristics of this elusive object and have insisted in particular on its incompleteness: “a fragment appears incomplete, be it a sliver cut off from a larger whole, an unfinished work, or a work that seems insubstantial” (Metzer 106). David Metzer adds that the central relationship for a fragment is “that between part and whole” (106), a relationship that is predicated on loss. The etymology of the word certainly highlights these aspects. As noted by Alain Montandon, in Latin, the words *fragmen* and *fragmentum* derive from *frango*, which means “to break, to shatter, to crash.” A fragment is a piece of a whole which has been ruptured and fragmentation therefore implies “an endured violence, an intolerable disintegration” (Montandon 77).<sup>1</sup> André Guyaux also draws attention to the etymology which emphasizes the cut, the separation and even “the wound” (7) while Sébastien Rongier refers to “a fracture” and “a tear.” Fragmentariness is therefore commonly associated with loss, lack and vulnerability – a word whose etymology is significantly *vulnus*, i.e., the wound. It might thereby come as no surprise that in our “era of the vulnerable” (Ganteau, *The Ethics* 5), works of fiction which relate personal and collective traumas, with a focus on bodily frailty and a dramatization of loss, should opt for the trope of vulnerability and for modes of fragmentation and dislocation.

---

<sup>1</sup> All quotations from French sources are provided in our own translation.

### A historical overview of the fragment

In earlier centuries, however, the fragment was not necessarily considered as the sign of a fracture to be deplored. Critics usually locate the origins of theoretical interest in the fragment in two European traditions. Firstly, French moralists and essayists from the sixteenth to the eighteenth century including Montaigne, Pascal, La Rochefoucauld and Chamfort favored the form of the *pensée*, the sentence, the maxim, the aphorism – a form taken up again by David Markson and David Shields in the contemporary period, as analyzed by Wojciech Drąg in this volume. According to Françoise Susini-Anastopoulos, unlike the fragment, the aphorism of the French moralists is marked by its “excessive closure” and “perfect completeness” (31), and for Elias, it “does not possess the same potential as the fragment to be performative” (9). The second European tradition of the fragment privileges a more open form: the late eighteenth-century Jena group of the first period of German Romanticism (including Schlegel and Novalis) set out their theory of the fragment in the journal *The Athenaeum* – a tradition Marcin Tereszewski recalls in this volume to differentiate it from the relationship of the fragment to totality in J. G. Ballard’s fiction. According to D. F. Rauber, the classical literary stance centered on the finite and developed forms that enhanced “effects such as balance, harmony, perfection” (214). On the other hand, the Romantic artist was looking for a finite and discreet form that would “reflect the infinite and the indeterminate” (Rauber 214). For Schlegel and Novalis, but also for Goethe, Schiller or Nietzsche, the fragment seemed to offer the best solution to fulfil this ideal, which has led Rauber to call it “the ultimate romantic form” (215) and Philippe Lacoue-Labarthe and Jean-Luc Nancy to name it “the romantic genre *par excellence*” (40). The two French critics insist that the German Romantics, contrary to the etymological definition proposed earlier, never confuse the fragment with “the residue of a broken ensemble,” nor do they emphasize the fracture that produces the fragment (42).

This marks a contrast with the perception of fragmentation in the twentieth and twenty-first century, as noted by Elizabeth Wanning Harries in *The Unfinished Manner: Essays on the Fragment in the Later Eighteenth Century* (1994): “We tend to think of fragmentary forms as radically discontinuous, reflecting a discontinuous, unstable, uncentered universe. The world is in chaos, and we represent that chaos in fragments. In the eighteenth century, however, and even into the nineteenth, fragments were not necessarily signs of a broken reality” (34). Instead, the Romantics gesture towards an impossible totality which remains an ideal. They acknowledge that the fragment “involves an essential incompleteness” (42) because it is a project, engaged in the process of becoming – a “fragment

of the future” as noted in *Athenaeum* fragment 22 (qtd. in Lacoue-Labarthe and Nancy 43). Simultaneously, however, they insist that the fragment relies on a form of unity, integrity and individuation, as suggested by Schlegel’s famous description in *Athenaeum* fragment 206: “[a] fragment, like a small work of art, has to be entirely isolated from the surrounding world and be complete in itself like a hedgehog” (qtd. in Lacoue-Labarthe and Nancy 43). The Romantic fragment, therefore, reaches out towards an impossible whole and does not bring “the dispersion or the shattering of the work into play” (Lacoue-Labarthe and Nancy 48).

A century later, the modernists repudiated Romanticism, and yet several critics traced the lineage of modernism, commonly labeled as an art of rupture, to Romantic modes of writing. For Anne Janowitz, the Romantic fragment poem was “the precursor form to the modernist fragment poem” (442), and for Rebecca Varley-Winter, “modernist fragments respond to Romantic fragments” (15). At the beginning of the twentieth century, chaos, confusion and a sense of crisis prevailed in the context of technological, social and economic modernization, scientific breakthroughs such as Einstein’s theory of relativity, the decline of philosophical, religious and moral certainties and the catastrophe of the First World War. Susini-Anastopoulos attributes the development of fragmentary writing in that period to what she calls modernity’s triple crisis of completeness, totality and genre (2) – notions which are deemed obsolete. Ricard Ripoll adds to that list the modern crisis of the subject (*L’écriture* 17–18), whereas Isabelle Chol proposes the crisis of meaning – prompted by the experience and awareness of lack, emptiness and discontinuity – as yet another philosophical context for the emergence of fragmentary literature (Chol 18–22). Moving further into the twentieth century, Rongier indicates a historical crisis as the motivation for the adoption of fragmentary style by thinkers such as Theodor Adorno. Rongier regards the choice to write in fragments as a “testimony of rupture” precipitated by the Holocaust and calls it “a thought of the radical mutilation of thought.” In the aftermath of Auschwitz, fragmentary writing signifies, according to Rongier, the “loss of every form of innocence,” the impossible “suture of the historical wound” and the exhaustion of “the affirmative conciliatory or reconciliatory thought.” Rongier associates formal disintegration with the “sense of an ending,” interprets the rise of fragmentation as literature’s admission of its own impotence and cites Adorno’s remark that art’s “turn to the friable and the fragmentary is in truth an effort to save art by dismantling the claim that artworks are what they cannot be” (Adorno 190).

In the first decades of the twentieth century, disillusioned poets were intent on devising new strategies that could capture the complexity of the

contemporary world. In *The Waste Land* (1922), T. S. Eliot juxtaposed the refuse, shards and debris of Western culture, “confronting the reader with a collage of seemingly unrelated fragments and abandoning the narrative that might hold them together” (Gasiorek 11). Eliot’s poem concludes with snippets from other works (a children’s song, the Upanishads, an ancient Latin poem and works by Dante, Thomas Kyd, Gérard de Nerval, Alfred Lord Tennyson and Algernon Swinburne, each of them in its original language), presented by the Fisher King as “these fragments I have shored against my ruins” (Eliot 75). Characteristically, Eliot does not provide any bridges or transitions which would account for the coexistence of so many distinct fragments.

While poetry is conducive to fragmentary writing and fragmentariness is integral to the short story (as examined by David Malcolm and Teresa Brúš in this volume), the novel has been considered as the genre that is the most distant from fragmentation (Ripoll, *L’écriture* 15). And yet, modernist novelists – wary of unifying plots, autonomous characters, reassuring sequentiality and closure – turned their backs on “realism as an unwarrantedly stable and epistemologically confident narrative mode” and “developed novelistic forms that were fragmented, deployed multiple viewpoints, emphasized the subjective nature of experience, disrupted narrative chronology” (Gasiorek 6). William Faulkner deprived the reader of any organizing narratorial figure and unified representation of the world, favoring instead the juxtaposition of a multitude of points of view in *The Sound and the Fury* (1929) and *As I Lay Dying* (1930) while Virginia Woolf linked herself “to dispersion, to intermittency, to the fragmented brilliance of images, to the simmering fascination of the instant” (Blanchot 101), famously asking readers to “tolerate the spasmodic, the obscure, the fragmentary, the failure” (Woolf 111). Significantly, most theorists of modernist literature highlight the primacy of fragmentation and put forward related concepts or notions which Stephen Kern has enumerated in *Modernism after the Death of God: Christianity, Fragmentation, and Unification* (2017):

*rupture* (Marjorie Perloff), *disintegration* (Erich Kahler), *discontinuity* (William Everdell), *nihilism* (Shane Weller), *crisis* (Jacques Le Rider), *meltdown* (Marshall Berman), *trauma* (Ariela Freedman), *shock* (Robert Hughes), *exploded form* (James Mellard), *break-up* (Katharine Kuh), *broken images* (Robert Schwartz), *fragmentation* (Sarah Haslam), and *self-fragmentation* (Dennis Brown). (6)

Kern adds however that this emphasis on fragmentation, disintegration and dislocation is often balanced by a process of reconstruction and a desire for unification. Thus, James McFarlane reinterpreted William Butler Yeats’s famous line from “Second Coming” (1919) – “Things fall apart; the

centre cannot hold” (Yeats 158) – by arguing that “the defining thing in the Modernist mode is not so much that things fall *apart* but that they fall *together*” (McFarlane 92). According to Joshua Kavaloski, Yeats was not only mourning “the perceived collapse of the order that had previously provided structure and meaning to human life” but he was also “longing for a new center” and articulating “the desire to reestablish order out of the shocks, crises, and violations of modernism’s early phase” (1). In the same way, Eliot in *The Waste Land* aimed to “piece together or reconcile the jigsaw of the myriad references, half-lines, non-sequiturs and quotations,” thereby trying to “hold in the chaos” (Childs 182). In “Spatial Form in Modern Literature,” Joseph Frank argued for a spatial and non-sequential reading of modernist works, following the model of imagist poetry. Taking the example of James Joyce’s *Ulysses* (1922), he wrote, “the reader is forced to read *Ulysses* in exactly the same manner as he reads modern poetry, that is, by continually fitting fragments together and keeping allusions in mind until, by reflexive reference, he can link them to their complements” (20). These examples suggest that fragmentation in modernist literature needs to be set up against the wish to synthesize what has been taken apart in order to recover some form of unity. Thereby, as suggested by Varley-Winter in *Reading Fragments and Fragmentation in Modernist Literature* (2018), crises within modernist literature “are more than purely negative” (21).

While in France in the 1950s and 60s, the *nouveau roman* as practiced by Alain Robbe-Grillet, Nathalie Sarraute, Michel Butor and Claude Simon was bringing radical changes to the novel, and in the United States in 1967, John Barth published his famous essay “The Literature of Exhaustion” about “the used-upness of certain forms or exhaustion of certain possibilities” (71), in Britain, mainstream social realism was the dominating trend and traditional novels were flourishing. Writers such as Eva Figs, B. S. Johnson, Ann Quin, Alan Burns or Brigid Brophy showed their frustration with this “reaction against experiment” – the title of Rubin Rabinovitz’s study of the English novel of the period. Figs recalls, “We were concerned with language, with breaking up conventional narrative, with ‘making it new’ in our different ways. We all used fragmentation as a starting point, and then took off in different directions” (70). Just as William S. Burroughs devised his cut-up technique to reflect the randomness of consciousness and the fragmented nature of the real, Johnson kept looking for forms that would reflect the chaos and fragmentation of reality, or, quoting Samuel Beckett, “a form that accommodates the mess” (Johnson, *Aren’t You Rather Young* 17). In *Albert Angelo* (1964), the author-narrator describes his own book as being “about the fragmentariness of life, too, attempts to reproduce the moment-to-moment fragmentariness of life, my life, and to echo



it in technique, the fragmentariness" (169). In *The Unfortunates* (1969), Johnson's famous novel-in-a-box composed of twenty-seven unbound sections, the novelist used the dislocated form of the book as a metaphor for the random workings of the narrator's mind, the arbitrary progression of a football match and the proliferation of cancer cells.

Critics have considered Johnson either as "a Modernist stranded on the inimical shores of the late twentieth century" (Ganteau, "Anatomy" 113) or as a paradoxical precursor of postmodernism, "a postmodernist who wasn't postmodern" (White and Tew 6). This hesitation points to the continuities and points of contact between modernism and postmodernism despite the addition of the polysemic prefix "post-," and one of them is specifically "the shattered fragment" (Metzer 104). For Jean-François Lyotard, the postmodern condition is characterized by an "incredulity towards metanarratives" (xxiv): master narratives that would grant meaning and rationality to events no longer exist and are replaced by a multitude of stories, a polyphony of voices, a plurality of versions. Therefore, as noted by Paul Virilio in 1983, "[w]e're in the age of micro-narrative, the art of the fragment" (35): the unity of continuity has been displaced "onto the notion of fragment, of disorder" (36). While Romantic and modernist artists were still longing for unity, the postmodernist writer "only disconnects.... His ultimate opprobrium is 'totalization,' any synthesis whatever, social, epistemic, even poetic. Hence his preference for montage, collage, the found or cut-up literary object, for paratactical over hypotactical forms" (Hassan 19). Several theorists have highlighted that major difference with modernism, for instance, Alan Wilde, who wrote that "Postmodernism has given up Modernist attempts to restore wholeness to a fragmented world and has accepted the contingency of experience" (42). For such American writers as Barthelme, Richard Brautigan or Thomas Pynchon, the fragments "never come together under the aegis of an explanatory rationale, be it that of history, myth, or psychology" because all such metanarratives are distrusted (D'haen 220). This also explains why the narrator of Salman Rushdie's *Shame* (1983), in his attempt to tell the history of Pakistan, is "forced to reflect that world in fragments of broken mirrors" and has to reconcile himself to "the inevitability of the missing bits" (69). Whereas modernist fiction "endorsed the reader's will to read the fragment into a totality," in postmodernist fiction, "this move to reconstruction breaks down, for both the reader and the fictional characters" (Mephram 146). Postmodernist texts made of unconnected fragments destroy the idea of connectivity and "challenge the literary code that predisposes the reader to look for coherence" (Fokkema 44).

Now that postmodernism is “over” (Hutcheon 165), “dead and gone,” “buried” (Federman 245), and has “run out of steam” (Mullins 1), one needs new theoretical tools to analyze the types of fragmentation practiced by British and American contemporary writers, which is what the present volume seeks to provide. On the one hand, contributors examine to what extent contemporary literature draws inspiration or moves away from earlier models, such as, for example, Mariano D’Ambrosio, who sees Laurence Sterne’s *Tristram Shandy* as a precursor of fragmentary writing or Jarosław Hetman, who shows how David Foster Wallace has moved beyond postmodernist fragmentation to counter this brokenness with a sense of transcendence. On the other hand, contributors offer new taxonomies and categories to define the specificity of the modes of fragmentation implemented by contemporary writers in the digital age. A brief review of existing taxonomies and of the main features of fragmentary writing will be proposed below before we turn to the characteristics of fragmentary writing in British and American fiction of the last few decades.

### Attempts at taxonomy

A number of critics agree on the basic distinction between works whose fragmentation is the result of the author’s conception and those which are incomplete for other reasons, such as the writer’s inability to finish them or the loss of some of its parts over time. In *The Romantic Fragment Poem* (1986), Marjorie Levinson uses the terms “authorized” and “accidental” to differentiate between those two categories (19). The element of chance signaled by the latter notion is also conspicuous in Merritt Moseley’s choice of the word “fortuitous” to account for this group of texts in the present volume. Harries, in turn, prefers the terms “planned” and “unplanned” (3), whereas Metzger chooses to distinguish between “invented” and “remnant” fragments (105). The invented, or “new,” fragments are, in Metzger’s words, “fragments of nothing,” as their origin is not a complete existing work but rather the concept of the fragment, informed by “the notions of incompleteness, loss, and vagueness.” Metzger concludes that despite their differences in genesis both types employ a very similar rhetoric (105–06).

Beyond the general agreement about the existence of the two outlined groups of fragmentary writing, there is little or no critical consensus on any further subdivisions and classifications. Elias proposes a classification of ten kinds of literary fragments, which she divides into two categories: those that historically *are* fragments and those that *become* fragments “by being theorized in critical discourse.” The former group, composed of coercive, consensual, redundant, repetitive and resolute fragments, mani-

feats “agency,” while the latter, comprising ekphrastic, epigrammatic, epigraphic, emblematic and epitaphic fragments, performs “representational functions” (20). By the coercive fragment Elias understands texts (like the writings of Heraclitus) which forcefully aim to elicit a reception emphasizing their incompleteness (25). The consensual kind, exemplified by the works of Schlegel, is also defined with reference to the way the text is interpreted; in this case, the text agrees to being “stretched to infinity and engages on a path of ‘forever becoming’” (26). Redundant, repetitive and resolute fragments, in turn, are distinguished on the basis of what they mean rather than how they are perceived. The three kinds represent different “stages in modernist writing” as epitomized by the works of Louis Aragon, Gertrude Stein and Emil Cioran, respectively (27). Elias embeds her discussion of the second group of fragments in the context of the poetics of postmodernism. The first subtype, the ekphrastic fragment, is one which comments verbally on its visual properties. The next three – epigrammatic, epigraphic and emblematic – “represent three stages in deconstructive thinking” which are informed, respectively, by writing as performance, writing as paratext and writing as metadiscourse (29–30). The final type, the epitaphic fragment, illustrated by the works of David Markson (discussed in this volume in the articles by Moseley and Drag), is defined as an “event that puts performativity to rest” (30).

In the present volume, the most comprehensive attempt at classifying deliberately fragmentary works is undertaken by Moseley, who proposes three categories: the braid, the bricolage and the mosaic, each of which is exemplified by a variety of contemporary works including the novels shortlisted for the 2016 Man Booker Prize. The braid is conceived of by Moseley as a series of distinct narrative projects which are interspersed with one another rather than offered in sequence. The bricolage is a category referring to works which are composed out of radically heterogeneous materials, whereas the mosaic comprises texts consisting of many narratives that are complete in themselves. Moseley concedes that his taxonomy is not exhaustive, as there exist numerous *sui generis* works that elude his classification.

The polyphonic novel, explored in this volume by D’Ambrosio, is another possible category of fragmentary writing. It relies on a juxtaposition of multiple voices and the employment of numerous narrators. Rather than amounting to a “messy cacophony,” works like Colum McCann’s *Let The Great World Spin* (2009) and Jennifer Egan’s *A Visit from the Goon Squad* (2010) combine the various voices “with a virtuosity akin to that demon-

strated by the great contrapuntal composers” (Gioia 4).<sup>2</sup> David Mitchell’s *Cloud Atlas* (2004) is a work which could be classified as a polyphonic novel as well as what A. E. van Vogt has dubbed the “fix-up” (qtd. in Lip-tak). Also referred to as the short-story novel, the “fix-up” is a notion applied to texts whose degree of coherence between consecutive chapters, regarding subject matter and genre, is greater than in the case of a collection of short stories and lesser than in a traditional novel. Further examples, including other works by Mitchell and, to a certain extent, Ali Smith’s *Hotel World* (2001), are examined in this volume by Gerd Bayer and Alicia Rouverol. The two other categories connected with fragmentary writing which are discussed in other chapters are collage (Drag) and the shuffle narrative (Côme Martin). Among other relevant critical labels are lexicographic fictions – texts imitating the form of encyclopedias, lexicons or dictionaries, such as Xiaolu Guo’s *A Concise Chinese-English Dictionary for Lovers* (2007) and David Levithan’s *The Lover’s Dictionary* (2010) – and question-and-answer texts, as exemplified by Jeanette Winterson’s “The Poetics of Sex” (1993) and Lydia Davis’s “Jury Duty” (2001).

### The poetics of fragmentary writing

Since fragmentary writing is not a widely established category, the list of its distinctive features has not been authoritatively codified. As Moseley notes in the opening chapter of this volume, in order for a text to be regarded as fragmentary, it certainly needs to *appear* that way to the reader. That subjective impression is often evoked by the division of the text into single paragraphs or sentences which are separated by space. In such works, exemplified by the writings of Roland Barthes, Maurice Blanchot, David Markson and Maggie Nelson, fragmentariness is evident at first glance – from the moment the reader sets eyes on the page. One alternative are works composed of blocks of continuous text – like Smith’s *Hotel World* and Mitchell’s *Cloud Atlas* – where fragmentation is only apparent at the level of the narrative, which frequently intersperses various elements and stories in a non-linear manner. The third common strategy of fragmentary writing is asserting its hybridity by employing multimodality. Composed of “a multitude of semiotic modes,” multimodal texts “cognize and integrate meaning from the creative synthesis of word, image, and tactility” (Gibbons, *Multimodality* 4; Gibbons, “Multimodal Literature” 433). Multimodal fragments have become particularly prominent over the last decades, therefore a separate section of the volume has been devoted

---

<sup>2</sup> Ted Gioia’s article “The Rise of the Fragmented Novel” is an electronic publication divided into sections, whose numbers are provided in parenthetical references.

PAGES MISSING  
FROM THIS FREE SAMPLE

## Contributors

**Mariano D'Ambrosio** holds an MA in journalism from the University of Parma and a PhD in comparative literature from University Paris 3 – Sorbonne Nouvelle. The title of his doctoral thesis is “The novel of nonlinearity: A comparative study of *Tristram Shandy*, *Pale Fire*, *Life: a User's Manual* and *House of Leaves*.”

**Gerd Bayer** is Professor and Akademischer Direktor in the English department at the University of Erlangen-Nürnberg, having previously taught at the University of Toronto, Case Western Reserve University and the University of Wisconsin-Whitewater. He is the author of a book on John Fowles and of *Novel Horizons: The Genre Making of Restoration Fiction*, as well as the (co-)editor of seven essay collections, most recently of *Early Modern Constructions of Europe* and *Holocaust Cinema in the Twenty-First Century*. He has published essays on postmodern and postcolonial literature and film, early modern narrative fiction, Holocaust Studies and heavy metal.

**Deborah Bridle** teaches at the Science Faculty at the University of Côte d'Azur and is a member of the CTEL research team. Her doctoral dissertation was devoted to the image of the mirror in a selection of Victorian fairy tales. Her research focuses on fiction dealing with the fantastic. She is particularly interested in occultism and mysticism in the works of authors from the end of the nineteenth century, as well as in the nihilistic philosophical approaches in the works of twentieth-century writers of horror.

**Teresa Bruś** is Associate Professor at the University of Wrocław. Her major fields of research include visual culture, photography and literature, and life writing. She teaches M.A. seminars on autobiography, electives on the poetry of the 1930s, English modernism and portraiture. Her doctoral dissertation focused on aspects of “profound frivolity” in W.H. Auden’s poetry. She is also a graduate of the International Forum of Photography in Poland. She has published on various aspects of life writing and photography in journals, including *Biography: An Interdisciplinary Quarterly*, *Prose Studies*, *Connotations*, and *Thepes*. She is the author of *Life Writing as Self-Collecting in the 1930s: Cecil Day Lewis and Louis MacNeice* (2012).

**Wojciech Drag** is Assistant Professor at the Institute of English Studies, University of Wrocław. He is the author of *Revisiting Loss: Memory, Trauma and Nostalgia in the Novels of Kazuo Ishiguro* (2014) and co-editor of *War and Words: Representations of Military Conflict in Literature and the Media* (2015) and *Spectrum of Emotions: From Love to Grief* (2016). In 2018, he received The Kosciuszko Foundation fellowship at the University of Utah.

**Alison Gibbons** is Reader in Contemporary Stylistics at Sheffield Hallam University. She is author of *Multimodality, Cognition, and Experimental Literature* (Routledge, 2012) and co-editor of *Mark Z. Danielewski* (Manchester University Press, 2011), *The Routledge Companion to Experimental Literature* (Routledge, 2012), *Metamodernism: Historicity, Affect, and Depth after Postmodernism* (Rowman & Littlefield, 2017) and *Pronouns in Literature: Positions and Perspectives in Language* (Palgrave Macmillan, 2018).

**Vanessa Guignery** is Professor of contemporary English and Postcolonial Literature at the École Normale Supérieure in Lyon. She published *The Fiction of Julian Barnes* (2006) and *Conversations with Julian Barnes* (co-edited with Ryan Roberts, 2009). She is the author of *Seeing and Being: Ben Okri's The Famished Road* (2012) as well as a monograph on B.S. Johnson (2009) and another on Jonathan Coe (2015). She is the editor of several books on contemporary literature in English, including a collection of interviews with eight contemporary writers, *Novelists in the New Millennium* (2012) and *The B.S. Johnson – Zulfikar Ghose Correspondence* (2015).

**Jarosław Hetman** is Assistant Professor at the Department of English, Nicolaus Copernicus University in Toruń. His academic interests include contemporary American fiction, relationships between literature and art and selected problems of literary theory. He is also the supervisor of The Spinning Globe, a Shakespearian theatrical group operating under the auspices of the Department of English.

**Zofia Kolbuszewska** is Associate Professor at the Institute of English Studies, University of Wrocław. She is the author of *The Poetics of Chronotope in the Novels of Thomas Pynchon* (2000) and *The Purloined Child: American Identity and Representations of Childhood in American Literature 1851-2000* (2007) and several articles on Pynchon, American postmodernism, American Gothic, ekphrasis, neobaroque and forensic imagination. She edited *Thomas Pynchon and the (De)vices of Global (Post)modernity* (2012) and co-edited *Echoes of Utopia: Notions, Rhetoric, Poetics* (2012) and *(Im)perfection Subverted, Reloaded and Networked: Utopian Discourse across Media* (2015).

**Caroline Magnin** is writing a doctoral thesis on American literature at Sorbonne University under the supervision of Professor Marc Amfreville. Her research focuses on the writing of trauma in post-9/11 American fiction. She is also a lecturer at Versailles Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines University, where she teaches American literature and translation.

**David Malcolm** is Professor of English literature at the SWPS University of Social Sciences and Humanities in Warsaw. He holds a PhD from University College London. He has written, edited, and co-edited books on Jean Rhys, John McGahern, Seamus Heaney, Graham Swift, Ian McEwan and others. His research interests concentrate on poetry, short fiction and fiction in Britain and Ireland.

**Côme Martin** holds a PhD in contemporary American literature. His research focuses on the relations between text and image and on books with unusual shapes, in comics as well as novels. He is an associate member of the TIES research group at Paris Est – Créteil University and an associate member of the GRENA laboratory in Paris IV – Sorbonne.

**Grzegorz Maziarczyk** is Head of the Institute of English Studies at John Paul II Catholic University of Lublin, Poland. His main research interests include textual materiality, multimodal storytelling, transmediality and dystopia. He is the author of two monographs – *The Narratee in Contemporary British Fiction* (2005) and *The Novel as Book: Textual Materiality in Contemporary Fiction in English* (2013), as well as co-editor of five collections of essays, including *(Im)perfection Subverted, Reloaded and Networked: Utopian Discourse across Media* (2015) and *Explorations of Consciousness in Contemporary Fiction* (2017).

**Merritt Moseley** is the editor of four volumes on British and Irish Novelists Since 1960, one on Booker Prize-Winners and one on the academic novel, and the author of monographs on David Lodge, Kingsley Amis, Julian Barnes, Michael Frayn, Pat Barker and Jonathan Coe. He was a Professor of Literature at the University of North Carolina at Asheville.

**Alicia J. Rouverol**, PhD, is Visiting Lecturer in Creative Writing, Fiction, at the University of Sheffield and has taught Creative Writing/Literature previously at the University of Manchester and at Sheffield Hallam University. She is the co-author of *"I Was Content and Not Content": The Story of Linda Lord and the Closing of Penobscot Poultry* (2000) and other articles in oral history. Her research focuses on contemporary British and American fiction, women's experimental writing and globalization.



**Maria Antonietta Struzziero** is an independent scholar. She completed a PhD in Linguistic and Literary Studies at the University of Salerno. Her doctoral dissertation concentrated on Jeanette Winterson and the love discourses in some of her novels. She has published articles on Thomas Hardy, Italo Calvino, Julian Barnes and Jeanette Winterson, and given papers at international conferences. She has co-edited “Voci ed echi: Quaderni di letteratura comparata” and translated two novels. She is currently working on mythology in contemporary novels, particularly Colm Tóibín’s *House of Names* and Madeline Miller’s *Circe*.

**Marcin Tereszewski** is Assistant Professor at the University of Wrocław, where he specializes in modern British fiction and literary theory. He is the author of *The Aesthetics of Failure: Inexpressibility in Samuel Beckett’s Fiction* (2013) and co-editor of *Production of Emotions: Perspectives and Functions* (2016). His current research interests include an examination of psychogeographical aspects of dystopian fiction, particularly in relation to J.G. Ballard’s fiction and architecture.

# Index

## A

- Aarseth, Espen J. 150, 158  
Abbott, H. Porter 40, 42  
Abrams, J. J.  
    S. 25, 28, 32, 64, 151, 153, 157  
Acker, Kathy xxi, 110  
Adler, Renata 117  
Adorno, Theodor W. xiv, xxvi, 59,  
    64  
Akker, Robin van den 200, 201,  
    206, 207  
Amfreville, Marc 139, 140, 143, 145  
Anderson, Sherwood  
    *Winesburg, Ohio* 4, 38  
Anjaria, Ulka 202, 206  
Annesley, James 69–70, 80  
Antin, David 111, 117, 120  
Aragon, Louis xix  
Auden, W. H. 40, 109, 110  
Augé, Marc 69, 70, 78, 80  
Baetens, Jan 173, 182  
Bakhtin, Mikhail 20, 21, 22, 32,  
    158, 203  
Balestrini, Nanni  
    *Tristano* 174

## B

- Ballard, J. G. xiii, xxiv, 5, 8, 15, 36,  
    47–55, 202  
    *The Atrocity Exhibition* xxii,  
    xxiv, 5, 8, 16, 48, 49, 198  
    *Empire of the Sun* 49, 52, 54, 55  
Banash, David 120, 121  
Barker, Nicola  
    *Burley Cross Postbox Theft* 16,  
    17  
    *The Cauliflower* 11, 12, 17, 18  
Barnes, Julian xxiii, 18, 81–88  
    *Cross Channel* 81, 86–87, 88  
    *Flaubert's Parrot* xxii, 11, 17, 86,  
    88  
    *A History of the World in 10 ½*  
    *Chapters* xxii, 14, 17  
    *The Lemon Table* 86, 88  
    *Levels of Life* 83–84, 88  
    *Nothing to Be Frightened Of* 84,  
    88  
    *Pulse* 83, 86, 87, 88  
Barricelli, Jean-Pierre 189, 195  
Barth, John xvi, xxvi, 58, 64, 124,  
    125  
Barthelme, Donald xi, xvii, xxvi,  
    15, 16, 124  
Barthes, Roland xx, xxiii, xxvi, 58,  
    63, 64, 112, 115, 121, 154, 182  
Bastian, Jonathan xxvi  
Bates, H. E. 33, 42  
Battersby, Eileen 14, 17  
Baudelaire, Charles 167  
Baudrillard, Jean 139, 145  
Baum, Kelly 6, 17  
Bayer, Andrea 17  
Bayer, Gerd xx, 60, 62, 64–65, 197,  
    204  
Bazarnik, Katarzyna 23–24, 32, 151  
Beachcroft, T. O. 34, 42  
Beckett, Samuel xvi, 5, 16, 68, 118  
Begley, Adam 85, 88  
Bell, Robert 126, 134  
Benjamin, Walter 118, 119, 161,  
    167–68, 171  
Bennett, Arnold 34  
Benson, Stephen 189, 195  
Berger, John  
    *Pig Earth* 38  
Berlin, Lucia  
    *A Manual for Cleaning Women*  
    37  
Berry, Jedediah  
    *The Family Arcana* 174, 175,  
    177, 180, 182

*The Family Arcana Supplementary Pack* 175–76, 182  
 Blanchot, Maurice xv, xx, xxvi, 48,  
 50, 54, 58, 64, 65, 67, 68, 80  
 Boland, Stephanie 10, 17  
 Bolaño, Roberto 64  
     2666 xxiv  
     *The Savage Detectives* 28  
 Bolter, Jay David 151, 152, 158  
 Bolzoni, Lina 164–65, 171  
 Borges, Jorge Luis 4, 16, 26, 64  
 Boswell, Marshall 125, 128, 129,  
 130, 134  
 Botha, Marc xxi, xxvi, 82, 83, 88  
 Bouilly, Jenny  
     *The Body* 25, 28, 29, 32  
 Bowen, Elizabeth 34, 42  
 Boxall, Peter 108, 121  
 Brainard, Joe  
     *I Remember* xxv  
 Brand, Roy 94, 103  
 Braque, Georges 110, 120  
 Brautigam, Richard xvii, 16  
 Bray, Joe xxvii, 122, 182, 203, 206  
 Bredekamp, Horst 164, 171  
 Brockelman, Thomas P. 112, 120,  
 121  
 Brod, Max 6  
 Brooks, Peter 181, 182  
 Brophy, Brigid xvi  
 Brosch, Renate 34–35, 42  
 Brown, Calvin S. 189, 192, 195  
 Brown, Charles Brockden  
     *Edgar Huntly* 139  
 Brown, Frederick 113, 121  
 Bulgakov, Mikhail  
     *The Master and Margarita* 9, 17  
 Burke, Edmund 53  
 Burnet, Graeme Macrae  
     *His Bloody Project* 3, 12–13, 16,  
 17  
 Burns, Alan xvi  
 Burns, William 185, 190, 192, 195  
 Burroughs, William S. xvi, xxi, xxiv,  
 xxvi, 8, 15, 48, 110, 115, 118  
     *The Naked Lunch* xxiv  
 Burton, Richard  
     *The Anatomy of Melancholy*  
 114, 165  
 Butor, Michel xvi

Byatt, A. S.  
     *Babel Tower* 4  
 Byron, Lord 109

## C

Calabrese, Omar 163, 171  
 Calvino, Italo 20, 22, 32  
     *The Castle of Crossed Destinies*  
 24  
 Campbell, Ewing 82, 88  
 Camus, Albert  
     *The First Man* 5  
 Cannizzaro, Danny  
     *Pry* 154, 156–57  
 Cano, Christine M. 59, 65  
 Capote, Truman  
     *Answered Prayers* 5  
 Carey, Ben 173, 174, 181, 183  
 Carson, Anne 119  
 Carter, Angela  
     *The Bloody Chamber* 36  
 Castiglione, Davide 199, 206  
 Certeau, Michel de 115  
 Chabon, Michael  
     *Moonglow* 4, 18  
 Chamfort xiii  
 Chase, Alston  
     *Harvard and the Unabomber*  
 163  
 Chauvel, Alex  
     *Thomas & Manon* 174, 183  
 Chesterton, G. K. 34  
 Childs, Peter xvi, xxvii, 87, 88  
 Chol, Isabelle xiv, xxi, xxii, xxvii  
 Cicero 116  
 Cioran, Emil xix  
 Clark, Alex xi, xxvii  
 Clemmons, Zinzi xxiv  
     *What We Lose* xxv  
 Codde, Philippe 136, 138, 143, 145  
 Coe, Jonathan 8, 14, 17  
     *The House of Sleep* 9–10, 17  
     *What a Carve Up!* 15–16, 17  
 Collins, Samuel Gerald 165, 166,  
 171  
 Constantine, David  
     *Under the Dam* 37  
 Cook, Bruce 14, 17  
 Coover, Robert 124, 125, 150

“Heart Suit” 174, 175, 183  
 Cortázar, Julio  
   *Hopscotch* 13, 17, 176  
 Coupland, Douglas 117  
 Crackanthorpe, Hubert  
   *Last Studies* 37  
 Crane, Stephen 109  
 Cregan, Kate 165, 171  
 Cunningham, Guy Patrick 5, 17  
 Cupers, Jean-Louis 189, 195  
 Current 93 185–95, 205

## D

D’Agata, John 115, 116, 119  
 D’haen, Theo xvii, xxvii  
 Danielewski, Mark Z. 151  
   *House of Leaves* 24, 25–27, 29,  
   32, 153, 202, 205, 206  
 Daniels, J. D. 5, 17  
 Davis, Lydia 39, 42, 117  
   *Break it Down* 39–41  
   “Jury Duty” xx  
 Debussy, Claude 189  
 Defoe, Daniel  
   *Moll Flanders* 13  
 Deleuze, Gilles 59–60, 65, 178,  
   180–81, 183  
 DeLillo, Don  
   *Underworld* xxiii  
 Derrida, Jacques 60, 67  
 Díaz, Junot 35, 42  
 Dickens, Charles  
   *The Mystery of Edwin Drood* 5  
 Dickinson, Emily 109  
 Didion, Joan xxi  
 Dillard, Annie 119  
 Dillon, Brian 53, 54, 82, 83, 85, 88  
 Dillon, Sarah 60, 61, 65  
 Dinnen, Zara 115–16, 121  
 Dizzy Dean 109, 113  
 Donato, Eugenio 97, 103  
 Donn, Katharina 136, 137, 145  
 Dorst, Doug  
   S. 25, 28, 32, 64, 151, 153, 157  
 Dos Passos, John  
   *U.S.A.* 11, 17  
 Douglas, Alfred 168, 171  
 Douglas, Jane Yellowlees 181, 183  
 Dowling, William 126, 134

Drag, Wojciech xii, xiii, xix, xx,  
   xxvii, 13, 23, 32, 121, 197, 198,  
   199, 200  
 Drucker, Johanna 152, 158  
 Dussel, Ines 121  
 Dyer, Geoff 115

## E

Eaves, Will  
   *The Absent Therapist* xxii, xxiii,  
   23, 25, 30–31, 32, 120  
 Eco, Umberto 92, 103  
 Edel, Leon 34  
 Eden, John 192, 195  
 Edwards, Caroline 58, 65  
 Egan, Jennifer xxiv  
   *A Visit from the Goon Squad*  
   xix, xxii, xxiv  
 Eggers, Dave 117  
 Eisenstein, Sergei 98  
 Elias, Camelia xii, xiii, xviii, xix,  
   xxvii  
 Eliot, T. S. xxvii, 5, 68, 110, 111, 112  
   *The Waste Land* xv, xvi, 7  
 Ellison, Ralph  
   *Invisible Man* 6  
   *Juneteenth* 6  
 Emerson, Ralph Waldo 115, 118  
 Emerson, Stephen 37  
 Emmott, Catherine 199, 205, 206  
 Ensslin, Astrid 152, 158  
 Epstein, Andrew 202, 206  
 Eude, Yves 180, 183  
 Evans, Mel 205, 206  
 Ewen, Paul  
   *London Pub Reviews* 7, 17

## F

Fajfer, Zenon 23, 24, 32, 151  
 Farley, Helen 168, 171  
 Farnos, Rémi  
   *Thomas & Manon* 174, 183  
 Faulkner, William  
   *As I Lay Dying* xv  
   *The Sound and the Fury* xv  
 Faulks, Sebastian  
   *A Possible Life: A Novel in Five*  
   *Love Stories* 14, 17

Featherstone, Mike 68, 80  
 Federman, Raymond xviii, xxvii,  
 151  
 Ferenczi, Sandór 138, 139, 145  
 Figes, Eva xvi, xxvii  
 Fludd, Robert 165  
 Foer, Jonathan Safran xxiii, 151  
*Extremely Loud and Incredibly  
 Close* 136–45, 201–02  
 Fokkema, Douve W. xvii, xxvii  
 Foucault, Michel 63, 65  
 Fowles, John 63  
*The French Lieutenant's Wom-  
 an* 61, 65  
 Frank, Joseph xvi, xxvii, 24, 32, 93,  
 98, 103  
 Franzen, Jonathan 80, 125  
 Freud, Sigmund 135, 136–37, 145  
 Frey, Hans-Jost xi, xii, xxvii  
 Frow, John 63, 65

## G

Gaddis, William 124  
 Ganteau, Jean-Michel xii, xvii,  
 xxvii  
 Garner, Alan  
*The Stone Book Quartet* 38–39,  
 42  
 Gasiorek, Andrzej xv, xxvii  
 Gavron, Jeremy  
*Felix Culpa* xxv  
 Genette, Gérard 35, 42, 59, 61–62,  
 65  
 Geyer, Ludwig 109, 110  
 Gibbons, Alison xii, xx, xxvii, 78,  
 80, 121, 122, 182  
 Gibbs, Jonathan 179, 183  
 Gilliam, Terry 118  
 Gioia, Ted xix–xx, xxiii–xxiv, xxvi,  
 xxvii, 8, 17  
 Goethe, Johann Wolfgang von xiii  
 Gogol, Nikolai  
*Dead Souls* 5  
 Goldsmith, Kenneth 202, 206  
 Gomes, Hugo Ferraz Maio 176,  
 183  
 Gomez, Jeff 149  
 Gorman, Samantha  
*Pry* 154, 156, 157

Gornick, Vivian 115  
 Graff, Gerald xxiii  
 Greene, Graham 34  
 Greene, Robert  
*Pandosto* 94  
 Gregory, Sinda 26, 32  
 Gretzky, Wayne 114  
 Grudin, Robert  
*Book: A Novel* 12, 13, 17  
 Grusin, Richard 151, 158  
 Guattari, Félix 59–60, 65, 178, 180–  
 81, 183  
 Guignery, Vanessa 11, 17, 18, 86,  
 88, 200  
 Gullason, Thomas A. 34, 42  
 Guo, Xiaolu  
*A Concise Chinese-English  
 Dictionary for Lovers* xx  
 Guyaux, André xii, xxvii

## H

Hall, Steven  
*The Raw Shark Texts* 157  
 Hallett, Cynthia J. 33–34, 42  
 Hanson, Clare 34, 43  
 Hanssen, Beatrice 167, 171  
 Harries, Elizabeth Wanning xiii,  
 xviii, xxvii, 49–50, 54  
 Harries, Karsten 164, 171  
 Hassan, Ihab xvii, xxvii  
 Hassan, Robert 121  
 Hayles, N. Katherine 27, 32, 151,  
 158  
 Head, Dominic 34, 35, 43  
 Heims, Steve Joshua 166, 171  
 Helmich, Werner xxi, xxii, xxviii  
 Hemingway, Ernest 34  
*Men Without Women* 36  
 Heraclitus xix  
 Heti, Sheila xxiv  
*How Should a Person Be?* xxv  
 Hill, Leslie 67, 68, 76, 80  
 Holmes, Richard 84, 88  
 Hopf, Courtney 61, 65  
 Hopkins, Budd 111, 120, 121  
 Hopkins, Gerard Manley 111  
 Horace 117  
 Horowitz, Eli  
*The Pickle Index* 154

Hunter, Adrian 35, 43  
 Husárová, Zuzana 173, 178, 179,  
 181, 183  
 Hutcheon, Linda xviii, xxviii, 130  
 Huxley, Aldous  
*Point Counter Point* 10, 18  
 Huysen, Andreas 47, 54

## I

Indick, Ben P. 188, 190, 195  
 Isekenmeier, Guido 61, 65  
 Iser, Wolfgang xxii–xxiii, xxviii,  
 181, 183  
 Ishiguro, Kazuo 64  
 Iyer, Lars 107

## J

Jackson, Shelley  
*The Patchwork Girl* 155  
 James, Henry 4, 6, 15, 16, 35, 86  
*Roderick Hudson* 18  
 James, Ian 79, 80  
 Jameson, Fredric 58, 65  
 Janet, Pierre 138  
 Janowitz, Anne xiv, xxviii  
 Jenkins, Henry 157, 159  
 Jenkins, Lynn 123, 134  
 Jerzak, Katarzyna 205  
 Johansen, Emily 69, 80  
 Johnson, B. S. xvi, xxvii, xxviii, xxx,  
 15, 16, 18, 26, 151, 159  
*Albert Angelo* xvi–xvii  
*The Unfortunates* xvii, xxii, 8,  
 24, 153, 173, 178, 183  
 Jordan, Julia 173, 183  
 Josipovici, Gabriel xxiv  
 Joyce, James 61, 63, 114, 119  
*Dubliners* 4, 36  
 “An Encounter” 35  
*Ulysses* xvi, 57, 111  
 Joyce, Michael  
*afternoon: a story* 152, 155  
 Jung, Carl Gustav 168  
 Jungeblut, Ann 123, 134

## K

Kafka, Franz 5, 64, 68

## Amerika 5

*The Trial* 6

Karl, Alissa G. 68, 69, 70, 74, 75, 80  
 Karpowicz, Agnieszka 110, 121  
 Kaul, Aashish 101, 103  
 Kavaloski, Joshua xvi, xxviii  
 Kee, Thuan Chye 4, 18  
 Kelly, Stuart 12, 18  
 Kermode, Frank 93, 181, 183  
 Kern, Stephen xv, xxviii  
 Kipling, Rudyard 36, 37  
 Kirsch, Irvin S. 123, 134  
 Kolbuszewska, Zofia xxi, 167, 171,  
 197, 201  
 Kolstad, Andrew 123, 134  
 Konstaninou, Lee 201, 206  
 Kostelanetz, Richard 110, 121  
 Krauth, Nigel 204, 206  
 Kristeva, Julia 96, 100, 101, 103  
 Kunzru, Hari  
*Gods Without Men* xxiv

## L

La Rochefoucauld, François de xiii  
 Lacoue-Labarthe, Philippe xiii, xiv,  
 xxviii, 82, 89  
 Lacroix, Sophie 50, 54  
 Lakoff, George 205, 207  
 Larsen, Lars Bang 162, 169, 170,  
 172  
 Larsen, Reif  
*Entrances & Exits* 154, 155–56  
 Lasdun, James 34, 43  
 Laskowski, Tara 175, 183  
 Lea, Richard 154, 159  
 Lecercle, Jean-Jacques 131, 134  
 Leclerc, Annie xxi  
 Lee, Hermione 82, 85, 86, 88  
 Lemoine, Yoann 205  
 Lerner, Ben xxv  
 Lessing, Doris  
*The Golden Notebook* 9, 18  
 Lestage, Gregory 37  
 Lethem, Jonathan 115–16, 117  
 “The Ecstasy of Influence” xxv,  
 115, 118, 121  
 Levin, Stephen M. 67, 73, 74, 76,  
 78, 79, 80  
 Levinson, Marjorie xviii, xxviii

- Levithan, David  
*The Lover's Dictionary* xx
- Liggins, Emma 33, 36, 43
- Ligotti, Thomas 185–95  
*In a Foreign Town, in a Foreign Land* 185–95, 205  
*Songs of a Dead Dreamer* 186  
*Teatro Grottesco* 186
- Lipsky, David 126
- Liptak, Andrew xx, xxviii
- Lispector, Clarice 5
- Livingston, Ira 169, 170, 172
- Lodge, David 16, 18
- Lohafer, Susan 86, 89
- Lotman, Jurij 182, 183
- Lotringer, Sylvère xxix
- Lux, Thomas 116
- Lyotard, Jean-François xvii, xxviii, 47
- M**
- Machinal, Hélène 63, 65
- Mackenzie, Henry  
*The Man of Feeling* 6–7, 18
- MacLaren-Ross, Julian  
*The Nine Men of Soho* 35
- Madera, John 201, 207
- Malcolm, David xv, 34, 35, 36, 38, 43, 198, 200
- Mallarmé, Stéphane 24, 26, 68, 180, 189
- Mamet, David 117
- Marinetti, Tommaso Filippo 108
- Markson, David xiii, xix, xx, xxii, xxiv, xxvii, 13, 16, 107–14, 115, 117, 119, 120  
*The Ballad of Dingus Magee* 114  
*The Last Novel* 14, 108, 112, 114, 121  
*Reader's Block* 108, 109, 112, 121  
*This Is Not a Novel* xxii, 107–14, 115, 118, 119, 121, 198, 199  
*Vanishing Point* xxix, xxix, 13–14, 18, 108, 112
- Marshall, Nowell 59, 65
- Mason, Jessica 203, 207
- Maunder, Andrew 33, 36, 43
- Max, D. T. 125, 132–33, 134
- Maziarczyk, Grzegorz xxi, 24, 32, 197, 201, 205
- McCaffery, Larry 26, 32, 124, 126, 132, 134
- McCann, Colum  
*Let The Great World Spin* xix, xxiv
- McCarthy, Tom 5, 18
- McCloud, Scott 178, 183
- McElwee, Ross 115
- McEwan, Ian  
*Atonement* xxiii
- McFarlane, James xv–xvi, xxviii
- McGuire, Richard  
*Here* xxiii
- McGahern, John  
*High Ground* 36  
*Nightlines* 36
- McHale, Brian xxiii, xxvii, xxviii, 122, 182, 203, 206
- Mellencamp, John 117
- Melville, Herman  
*Moby-Dick; or, The Whale* 6, 18
- Meno, Joe  
*The Boy Detective Fails* 202
- Mephram, John xvii, xxviii
- Metzer, David xii, xvii, xviii, xxii, xxviii
- Mishra, Vijay 51, 54
- Mitchell, David xx, xxii, xxiii, xxiv, 57–65, 204  
*The Bone Clocks* 57, 62, 65  
*Cloud Atlas* xx, xxii, xxiii, xxiv, 14, 57, 60, 61, 65  
*Ghostwritten* xxii, 57, 60, 65  
*number9dream* 60, 65  
*Slade House* 61, 62, 65  
*The Thousand Autumns of Jacob de Zoet* 62, 65
- Montaigne xiii
- Montandon, Alain xii, xxviii
- Montfort, Nick 173, 178, 179, 183
- Moody, Rick  
“Primary Sources” xxv
- Moore, George  
*The Untilled Field* 36
- Moretti, Franco 150, 158
- Moseley, Merritt xviii, xix, xx, 68, 198, 203, 204

Mullins, Matthew xviii, xxviii, 136, 145  
 Murakami, Haruki 63, 64

## N

Nabokov, Vladimir  
*The Original of Laura* 5  
*Pale Fire* 7, 18, 26  
 Nacher, Anna 179, 183  
 Nadar 83–84, 85, 88  
 Nance, Kevin 4, 18  
 Nancy, Jean-Luc xiii, xiv, xxviii, 75, 77, 79, 80, 82, 89  
 Nelson, Maggie xx, xxiv  
*Bluets* xxv, 120  
 Nerval, Gérard de xv, 94, 95  
 Nietzsche, Friedrich xiii, 58, 66, 68  
 Niffenegger, Audrey  
*The Time Traveler's Wife* xxiv  
 Novalis xiii, 6, 68

## O

Oates, Joyce Carol 11, 18  
 O'Brien, Flann  
*At Swim-Two-Birds* 9, 13, 18, 61  
 O'Brien, Tim  
*The Things They Carried* 36, 38  
 O'Connor, Frank 33, 43  
 O'Riordan, Valerie 71, 80  
 Offill, Jenny xxiv  
*Dept. of Speculation* xxiii, xxv, 120  
 Olsen, Lance xxiv, 119, 207  
*Dreamlives of Debris* 120  
*Head in Flames* 120, 201  
*Theories of Forgetting* 199  
 [[there.]] 198, 207  
 Orr, Leonard 20, 32  
 Orwell, George 150  
 oVosite  
*Récits voisins* 174, 183

## P

Paddy, David I. 52, 55  
 Panter-Downes, Mollie 37  
 Parker, Harry  
*Anatomy of a Soldier* xv

Pascal, Blaise x  
 Patea, Viorica 33, 34, 43  
 Pavić, Milorad  
*Dictionary of the Khazars* 7, 18  
 Pederson, Joshua 136, 141, 145  
 Perec, Georges  
*Life: A User's Manual* 7, 18, 24, 176, 183  
 Perloff, Marjorie xv, 108, 111, 115, 121  
 Pessl, Marisha 151  
*Night Film* 157  
 Petronius  
*Satyricon* 6, 18  
 Phillips, Tom  
*A Humument* 174, 177,  
*A Humument App* 178, 183, 184  
 Picasso, Pablo 110, 114, 118, 120  
 Pietsch, Michael 131, 134  
 Pink, Sarah 156, 159  
 Plascencia, Salvador 151  
*The People of Paper* 25, 27, 28, 32  
 Poe  
*Haunted* 205, 206  
 Poe, Edgar Allan 82, 89, 139  
 Pound, Ezra 68  
*Cantos* 16  
 Powell, Lee 192, 195  
 Pratt, Mary Louise 33, 36, 43, 198  
 Pressman, Jessica 151, 159  
 Pritchett, V. S. 34, 81, 82, 89  
 Proust, Marcel 59, 68  
 Pynchon, Thomas xvii

## Q

Quicchelberg, Samuel 164, 172  
 Quin, Ann xvi  
 Quinn, Russell  
*The Pickle Index* 154

## R

Raban, Jonathan 115, 117  
 Rabaté, Dominique xxvi, xxviii  
 Rabinovitz, Rubin xvi, xxviii  
 Rauber, D. F. xiii, xxix  
 Rawle, Graham 151  
*Woman's World* 153



Reid, Ivan 33, 35, 43  
 Richardson, Brian 20, 32, 202, 207  
 Richardson, Samuel  
   *Pamela* 16  
 Rilke, Rainer Maria 109  
 Rimell, Victoria 6, 18  
 Ripoll, Ricard xiv, xv, xxi, xxii, xxix  
 Robbe-Grillet, Alain xvi  
 Robbins, Ruth 33, 36, 43  
 Roberts, Ryan 17, 88  
 Robinson, Mary xxiv  
 Rohr, Suzanne 69, 80  
 Rongier, Sébastien xii, xiv, xxi, xxix  
 Rouverol, Alicia J. xx, 75, 80, 197,  
   198, 201  
 Rowsell, Jennifer 154, 159  
 Ruffino, Paolo 59, 66  
 Rulfo, Juan  
   *Pedro Páramo* 28  
 Rushdie, Salman  
   *Shame* xvii, xxix

## S

Saal, Ilka 144, 145  
 Saemmer, Alexandra 180, 184  
 Sanford, Anthony 199, 206  
 Sante, Luc 120, 121  
 Saporta, Marc  
   *Composition No. 1* 153, 173,  
   177, 184  
 Sarraute, Nathalie xvi  
 Saunders, George  
   *Lincoln in the Bardo* xxiv  
 Schiller, Friedrich xiii  
 Schlegel, Friedrich xiii–xiv, xix, 68,  
   84–85, 97, 103  
 Schoentjes, Pierre xxvi, xxviii  
 Schopenhauer, Arthur 192  
 Scofield, Paul 35, 43  
 Sebald, W. G. 115, 119  
 Self, Will xi, xxvii, xxix, 107  
 Sexton, David 11, 18  
 Shakespeare, William  
   *The Winter's Tale* 91–94, 96–97,  
   102, 204  
 Shaw, Valerie 34, 43  
 Shields, David xiii, xxii, xxiv, xxv,  
   xxvi, 153–54

*How Literature Saved My Life*  
   xxv  
   *Reality Hunger: A Manifesto*  
   xxv, xxix, 107, 108, 115–21, 198,  
   200, 202, 203, 207  
 Shklovsky, Victor 30, 32  
 Short, Emily 175, 177, 184  
 Siegel, Lee 13  
   *Love in a Dead Language* 11, 18  
 Simon, Claude xvi  
 Simonsen, Karen-Margrethe 150,  
   159  
 Sims, Laura 110, 121  
 Skidelsky, William 14, 18  
 Slater, Lauren 116  
 Smith, Ali xxiii  
   *The Accidental*  
   *Hotel World* xx, 67–80, 198, 201  
 Smith, E. E. 71, 78, 80  
 Smith, Zadie xi–xii, xxiv, xxix  
   *NW* xxiii  
 Smithson, Robert 199, 207  
 Sontag, Susan 142, 145  
 Sorrentino, Gilbert xxiv, 15  
 Stapleton, Steven ix, 186–87, 188,  
   195  
 Stein, Gertrude xix  
 Sterne, Laurence 7, 25, 26, 28  
   *The Life and Opinions of Tris-  
   tram Shandy* xviii, 20–21, 22,  
   24, 32  
 Strathman, Christopher A. 68, 80  
 Strawson, Galen 83, 89  
 Sukenick, Ronald 20, 32, 119  
 Susini-Anastopoulos, Françoise  
   xiii, xiv, xxi, xxix  
 Swift, Graham  
   *England and Other Stories* 38,  
   43  
 Swift, Jonathan  
   *A Tale of a Tub* 113  
 Szalay, David  
   *All That Man Is* 3, 14–15, 18

## T

Tabbi, Joseph 110, 121  
 Tew, Philip xvii, xxix  
 Thien, Madeleine

*Do Not Say We Have Nothing* 3, 10, 18  
 Thirlwell, Adam  
*Kapow!* 204  
 Thoreau, Henry David  
*Walden; or, Life in the Woods* 162  
 Tibet, David 185, 189, 191–92, 194–95  
 Timmer, Nicoline 201, 207  
 Tokarczuk, Olga  
*Flights* xxiv  
 Tomasula, Steve xxiv, 151, 153  
*The Book of Portraiture*  
*VAS: An Opera in Flatland* 110, 153  
 Treister, Suzanne 161–72  
*Hexen 2.0* 161–72  
*Hexen 2039* 161, 162, 172  
 Trevor, William 36, 43  
 Tykwer, Tom 60

## U

Updike, John 128, 202, 207  
 Uytterschout, Sien 140, 141, 145

## V

Valéry, Paul 6, 68  
 Varley-Winter, Rebecca xiv, xvi, xxix  
 Veikat, Tiina xxii, xxvi, xxix  
 Vermeulen, Pieter 107  
 Vermeulen, Timotheus 200, 201, 206, 207  
 Virilio, Paul xvii, xxix  
 van Vogt, A. E. xx, xxviii

## W

Wachowski, Lana and Lilly 60  
 Wagner, Richard 110  
 Wagstaff, Sheena 17  
 Walker, Jonathan 59, 66  
 Wallace, David Foster xviii, xxi, xxiii, xxiv, 117, 123–34, 199, 201, 202  
*Brief Interviews with Hideous Men* 37, 126, 129–30, 133, 134

*The Broom of the System* 124, 131  
*Infinite Jest* 123, 124, 125, 126–28, 129, 131, 133, 134  
*The Pale King* 5, 126, 131–33, 134  
 “Westwards the Course of Empire Takes Its Way” 124  
 Ware, Chris  
*Building Stories* 174, 178  
*Jimmy Corrigan* 178  
 Watson, Alex 51, 52, 53, 55  
 Watt, Ian 149, 159  
 Waugh, Evelyn  
*Vile Bodies* 7, 18  
 Wells, H. G.  
*The New World Order* 162–63  
 Welty, Eudora  
*The Golden Apples* 38  
 Westerhoff, Jan C. 164, 172  
 White, Glyn xvii, xxix  
 Wilde, Alan xvii, xxix  
 Winkiel, Laura 108, 117, 122  
 Winterson, Jeanette xxii, xxiii  
*Art Objects* 91, 102, 103  
*The Gap of Time* 91–103, 204  
*The Passion* 102, 103  
 “The Poetics of Sex” xx  
*The PowerBook* 94  
*Weight* 91, 103  
 Wittgenstein, Ludwig 125, 128, 134  
 Wohlfarth, Irving 167, 168, 172  
 Wolf, Werner 204, 207  
 Woodkid  
*The Golden Age* 205  
 Woolf, Virginia xv, xxx, 88  
 “Kew Gardens” 35  
*Mrs Dalloway* 72, 80  
*To the Lighthouse* 57

## Y

Yates, Frances 165, 172  
 Yeats, William Butler xv–xvi, xxx, 15

## Z

Zimmerman, Eric

*Life in the Garden* 173–74, 179,  
184  
Žižek, Slavoj 51