## What kind of matrices are restricted isometries?

• They are very hard to design, but they exist everywhere!



• For any fixed  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , we have

$$\mathbf{E}[\|\Phi x\|_2^2] = \|x\|_2^2$$

the mean of the measurement energy is exactly  $||x||_2^2$ 

## What kind of matrices are restricted isometries?

• They are very hard to design, but they exist everywhere!



• For any fixed  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , we have  $P\left\{ \left| \|\Phi x\|_2^2 - \|x\|_2^2 \right| < \delta \|x\|_2^2 \right\} \ge 1 - e^{-M\delta^2/4}$ 

### What kind of matrices are restricted isometries?

• They are very hard to design, but they exist everywhere!



• For all 2S-sparse  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , we have  $P\left\{\max_x \left|\|\Phi x\|_2^2 - \|x\|_2^2\right| < \delta \|x\|_2^2\right\} \ge 1 - e^{c \cdot S \log(N/S)} e^{-M\delta^2/4}$ So we can make this probability close to 1 by taking  $M \gtrsim S \log(N/S)$ 

# What other types of matrices are restricted isometries?

Four general frameworks:

- Random matrices (iid entries)
- Random subsampling
- Random convolution
- Randomly modulated integration

Note the role of randomness in all of these approaches

Slogan: random projections keep sparse signal separated

# Random matrices (iid entries)



- Random matrices are provably efficient
- We can recover S-sparse x from

$$M \gtrsim S \cdot \log(N/S)$$

measurements

## Rice single pixel camera



(Duarte, Davenport, Takhar, Laska, Sun, Kelly, Baraniuk '08)

# Georgia Tech analog imager







on



10k DCT measurements









.0k random measurements



# Cor

# Random matrices

Example:  $\Phi$  consists of *random rows* from an *orthobasis* U



Can recover S-sparse x from

(Rudelson and Vershynin '06, Candès and R '07)

$$M~\gtrsim~\mu^2~S\cdot\log^4 N$$

measurements, where

$$\mu = \sqrt{N} \max_{i,j} |(U^T \Psi)_{ij}|$$

is the *coherence* 

## Examples of incoherence

• Signal is sparse in time domain, sampled in Fourier domain



 ${\cal S}$  nonzero components



measure m samples

• Signal is sparse in wavelet domain, measured with noiselets

example noiselet

#### wavelet domain



(Coifman et al '01)

#### noiselet domain



# Accelerated MRI



(Lustig et al. '08)

### Empirical processes and structured random matrices

• For matrices with this type of *structured randomness*, we simply do not have enough concentration to establish

$$(1-\delta) \|x\|_2^2 \leq \|\Phi x\|_2^2 \leq (1+\delta) \|x\|_2^2$$

"the easy way"

• Re-write the RIP as a the *supremum of a random process* 

$$\sup_{x} |G(x)| = \sup_{x} |x^* \Phi^* \Phi x - x^* x| \le \delta$$

where the sup is taken over all 2S-sparse signals

 Estimate this sup using tools from probability theory (e.g. the Dudley inequality) — approach pioneered by Rudelson and Vershynin

## Random convolution

• Many *active imaging* systems measure a pulse convolved with a *reflectivity profile* (Green's function)



- Applications include:
  - radar imaging
  - sonar imaging
  - seismic exploration
  - channel estimation for communications
  - super-resolved imaging
- Using a *random pulse* = compressive sampling

(Tropp et al. '06, R '08, Herman et al. '08, Haupt et al. '09, Rauhut '09)

# Coded aperture imaging



## Random convolution for CS, theory

- Signal model: sparsity in any orthobasis  $\Psi$
- Acquisition model:

generate a "pulse" whose FFT is a sequence of random phases (unit magnitude),

convolve with signal,

sample result at M random locations  $\Omega$ 

$$\Phi = R_{\Omega} \mathcal{F}^* \Sigma \mathcal{F}, \quad \Sigma = \operatorname{diag}(\{\sigma_{\omega}\})$$

)

• The RIP holds for (R '08)

$$M \gtrsim S \log^5 N$$

Note that this result is *universal* 

• Both the random sampling and the flat Fourier transform are needed for universality

# Randomizing the phase



Why is random convolution + subsampling universal?

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{F} \\ & \sigma_2 \\ & & \ddots \\ & & & \sigma_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\psi}_1(\omega) & \hat{\psi}_2(\omega) & \cdots & \hat{\psi}_n(\omega) \\ & & & \sigma_n \end{bmatrix}$$

• One entry of  $\Phi' = \Phi \hat{\Psi} = \mathcal{F} \Sigma \hat{\Psi}$ :

$$\Phi_{t,s}' = \sum_{\omega} e^{j2\pi\omega t} \sigma_{\omega} \hat{\psi}_s(\omega)$$
$$= \sum_{\omega} \sigma_{\omega}' \hat{\psi}_s(\omega)$$

• Size of each entry will be concentrated around  $\|\hat{\psi}_s(\omega)\|_2 = 1$ does not depend on the "shape" of  $\hat{\psi}_s(\omega)$ 

# Super-resolved imaging



(Marcia and Willet '08)

# Seismic forward modeling

- Run a single simulation with all of the sources activated simultaneously with random waveforms
- The channel responses interfere with one another, but the randomness "codes" them in such a way that they can be separated later



Related work: Herrmann et. al '09

### Restricted isometries for multichannel systems



• With each of the pulses as iid Gaussian sequences,  $\Phi$  obeys

 $(1-\delta)\|h\|^2 \leq \|\Phi h\|_2^2 \leq (1+\delta)\|h\|_2^2 \quad \forall s \text{-sparse } h \in \mathbb{R}^{NC}$ 

when

(R and Neelamani '09)

 $M \gtrsim S \cdot \log^5(NC) + N$ 

• **Consequence:** we can separate the channels using short random pulses (using  $\ell_1$  min or other sparse recovery algorithms)