### Local invariant features

Cordelia Schmid INRIA, Grenoble

## Local features



Several / many local descriptors per image Robust to occlusion/clutter + no object segmentation required

*Photometric* : distinctive

*Invariant* : to image transformations + illumination changes

## Local features: interest points



## Local features: Contours/segments





## Local features: segmentation





## **Application: Matching**



#### Find corresponding locations in the image

# Illustration – Matching



Interest points extracted with Harris detector (~ 500 points)

# Illustration – Matching



Interest points matched based on cross-correlation (188 pairs)

# Illustration – Matching

Global constraint - Robust estimation of the fundamental matrix



#### 99 inliers

89 outliers

## **Application: Panorama stitching**



# Application: Instance-level recognition

Search for particular objects and scenes in large databases



# Difficulties

Finding the object despite possibly large changes in scale, viewpoint, lighting and partial occlusion

#### $\rightarrow$ requires invariant description



Scale



Viewpoint



Lighting





Occlusion

# Difficulties

- Very large images collection  $\rightarrow$  need for efficient indexing
  - Flickr has 2 billion photographs, more than 1 million added daily
  - Facebook has 15 billion images (~27 million added daily)
  - Large personal collections
  - Video collections, i.e., YouTube

### Search photos on the web for particular places





Find these landmarks



... in these images and 1M more

- Take a picture of a product or advertisement
  - $\rightarrow$  find relevant information on the web

### PRENEZ EN PHOTO L'AFFICHE !



#### [Pixee – Milpix]

• Finding stolen/missing objects in a large collection







• Copy detection for images and videos

Query video



Search in 200h of video



# Local features - history

- Line segments [Lowe'87, Ayache'90]
- Interest points & cross correlation [Z. Zhang et al. 95]
- Rotation invariance with differential invariants [Schmid&Mohr'96]
- Scale & affine invariant detectors [Lindeberg'98, Lowe'99, Tuytelaars&VanGool'00, Mikolajczyk&Schmid'02, Matas et al.'02]
- Dense detectors and descriptors [Leung&Malik'99, Fei-Fei& Perona'05, Lazebnik et al.'06]
- Contour and region (segmentation) descriptors [Shotton et al.'05, Opelt et al.'06, Ferrari et al.'06, Leordeanu et al.'07]

## Example for line segments





#### images 600 x 600

## Example for line segments





#### 248 / 212 line segments extracted

## Matched line segments





#### 89 matched line segments - 100% correct

## 3D reconstruction of line segments



# Problems of line segments

- Often only partial extraction
  - Line segments broken into parts
  - Missing parts
- Information not very discriminative
  - 1D information
  - Similar for many segments
- Potential solutions
  - Pairs and triplets of segments
  - Interest points

## Overview

- Harris interest points
- Comparing interest points
- Scale & affine invariant interest points
- Evaluation and comparison of different detectors
- Region descriptors and their performance

## Harris detector [Harris & Stephens'88]

Based on the idea of auto-correlation



Important difference in all directions => interest point

# Images

- We can think of an **image** as a function, *f*, from R<sup>2</sup> to R:
  - f(x, y) gives the **intensity** at position (x, y)
  - the image is defined over a rectangle with a finite range
- A color image is just three functions pasted together. We can write this as a "vector-valued" function:

$$f(x, y) = \begin{bmatrix} r(x, y) \\ g(x, y) \\ b(x, y) \end{bmatrix}$$

# **Digital images**

- In computer vision we operate on **digital** images:
  - **Sample** the 2D space on a regular grid
  - **Quantize** each sample (round to nearest integer)
- The image can now be represented as a matrix of integer values (pixels)

I I								
ļ	62	79	23	119	120	105	4	0
	10	10	9	62	12	78	34	0
	10	58	197	46	46	0	0	48
	176	135	5	188	191	68	0	49
	2	1	1	29	26	37	0	77
	0	89	144	147	187	102	62	208
	255	252	0	166	123	62	0	31
	166	63	127	17	1	0	99	30

Auto-correlation function for a poin(tx, y) and a shi( $t\Delta x, \Delta y$ )

$$a(x, y) = \sum_{\substack{(x_k, y_k) \in W(x, y) \\ (\Delta x, \Delta y)}} (I(x_k, y_k) - I(x_k + \Delta x, y_k + \Delta y))^2$$

Auto-correlation function for a poin(tx, y) and a shi( $t\Delta x, \Delta y$ )

$$a(x, y) = \sum_{(x_k, y_k) \in W(x, y)} (I(x_k, y_k) - I(x_k + \Delta x, y_k + \Delta y))^2$$

$$(\Delta x, \Delta y)$$

$$W$$

 $a(x,y) \begin{cases} \text{small in all directions} \rightarrow \text{uniform region} \\ \text{large in one directions} \rightarrow \text{contour} \\ \text{large in all directions} \rightarrow \text{interest point} \end{cases}$ 







"flat" region: no change in all directions

#### "edge":

no change along the edge direction "corner": significant change in all directions

Discret shifts are avoided based on the auto-correlation matrix

with first order approximation

$$I(x_k + \Delta x, y_k + \Delta y) = I(x_k, y_k) + (I_x(x_k, y_k) - I_y(x_k, y_k)) \begin{pmatrix} \Delta x \\ \Delta y \end{pmatrix}$$

$$a(x, y) = \sum_{(x_k, y_k) \in W(x, y)} (I(x_k, y_k) - I(x_k + \Delta x, y_k + \Delta y))^2$$
$$= \sum_{(x_k, y_k) \in W} \left( (I_x(x_k, y_k) - I_y(x_k, y_k)) \begin{pmatrix} \Delta x \\ \Delta y \end{pmatrix} \right)^2$$

$$= \left(\Delta x \quad \Delta y\right) \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{\substack{(x_k, y_k) \in W}} (I_x(x_k, y_k))^2 & \sum_{\substack{(x_k, y_k) \in W}} I_x(x_k, y_k) I_y(x_k, y_k) \\ \sum_{\substack{(x_k, y_k) \in W}} I_x(x_k, y_k) I_y(x_k, y_k) & \sum_{\substack{(x_k, y_k) \in W}} (I_y(x_k, y_k))^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta x \\ \Delta y \end{pmatrix}$$

#### Auto-correlation matrix

the sum can be smoothed with a Gaussian

$$= (\Delta x \quad \Delta y)G \otimes \begin{bmatrix} I_x^2 & I_x I_y \\ I_x I_y & I_y^2 \end{bmatrix} (\Delta x) \\ (\Delta y)$$

• Auto-correlation matrix

$$G \otimes \begin{bmatrix} I_x^2 & I_x I_y \\ I_x I_y & I_y^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

- captures the structure of the local neighborhood
- measure based on eigenvalues of this matrix
  - 2 strong eigenvalues => interest point
  - 1 strong eigenvalue => contour
  - 0 eigenvalue => uniform region

# Interpreting the eigenvalues

Classification of image points using eigenvalues of *M*:





Cornerness function

$$f = \det(a) - k(trace(a))^{2} = \lambda_{1}\lambda_{2} - k(\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2})^{2}$$

Reduces the effect of a strong contour

- Interest point detection
  - Treshold (absolut, relatif, number of corners)
  - Local maxima

 $f > thresh \land \forall x, y \in 8 - neighbourhood f(x, y) \ge f(x', y')$ 



Compute corner response R



Find points with large corner response: *R*>threshold



#### Take only the points of local maxima of R



# Harris detector: Summary of steps

- 1. Compute Gaussian derivatives at each pixel
- 2. Compute second moment matrix *M* in a Gaussian window around each pixel
- 3. Compute corner response function *R*
- 4. Threshold *R*
- 5. Find local maxima of response function (non-maximum suppression)

# Harris - invariance to transformations

- Geometric transformations
  - translation
  - rotation
  - similitude (rotation + scale change)
  - affine (valide for local planar objects)
- Photometric transformations
  - Affine intensity changes  $(I \rightarrow a I + b)$





# Harris Detector: Invariance Properties

Rotation



Ellipse rotates but its shape (i.e. eigenvalues) remains the same

Corner response R is invariant to image rotation

# Harris Detector: Invariance Properties

• Affine intensity change



# Harris Detector: Invariance Properties

• Scaling



All points will be classified as edges

Not invariant to scaling

## Overview

- Harris interest points
- Comparing interest points (SSD, ZNCC, Derivatives, SIFT)
- Scale & affine invariant interest points
- Evaluation and comparison of different detectors
- Region descriptors and their performance

# Comparison of patches - SSD

Comparison of the intensities in the neighborhood of two interest points



SSD : sum of square difference

$$\frac{1}{(2N+1)^2} \sum_{i=-N}^{N} \sum_{j=-N}^{N} (I_1(x_1 + i, y_1 + j) - I_2(x_2 + i, y_2 + j))^2$$

Small difference values  $\rightarrow$  similar patches

## **Comparison of patches**

SSD: 
$$\frac{1}{(2N+1)^2} \sum_{i=-N}^{N} \sum_{j=-N}^{N} (I_1(x_1 + i, y_1 + j) - I_2(x_2 + i, y_2 + j))^2$$

Invariance to photometric transformations?

Intensity changes  $(I \rightarrow I + b)$ => Normalizing with the mean of each patch  $\frac{1}{(2N+1)^2} \sum_{i=-N}^{N} \sum_{j=-N}^{N} ((I_1(x_1+i, y_1+j) - m_1) - (I_2(x_2+i, y_2+j) - m_2))^2$ 

Intensity changes  $(I \rightarrow aI + b)$ 

=> Normalizing with the mean and standard deviation of each patch

$$\frac{1}{(2N+1)^2} \sum_{i=-N}^{N} \sum_{j=-N}^{N} \left( \frac{I_1(x_1+i, y_1+j) - m_1}{\sigma_1} - \frac{I_2(x_2+i, y_2+j) - m_2}{\sigma_2} \right)^2$$

## **Cross-correlation ZNCC**

zero normalized SSD

$$\frac{1}{(2N+1)^2} \sum_{i=-N}^{N} \sum_{j=-N}^{N} \left( \frac{I_1(x_1+i, y_1+j) - m_1}{\sigma_1} - \frac{I_2(x_2+i, y_2+j) - m_2}{\sigma_2} \right)^2$$

ZNCC: zero normalized cross correlation

$$\frac{1}{(2N+1)^2} \sum_{i=-N}^{N} \sum_{j=-N}^{N} \left( \frac{I_1(x_1+i, y_1+j) - m_1}{\sigma_1} \right) \cdot \left( \frac{I_2(x_2+i, y_2+j) - m_2}{\sigma_2} \right)$$

ZNCC values between -1 and 1, 1 when identical patches in practice threshold around 0.5

# Local descriptors

- Greyvalue derivatives
- Differential invariants [Koenderink'87]
  - combinations of derivatives
- SIFT descriptor [Lowe'99]

### Greyvalue derivatives: Image gradient

• The gradient of an image:  $\nabla f = \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}\right]$ 

• 
$$\nabla f = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
  $\downarrow$   $\nabla f = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \end{bmatrix}$   $\nabla f = \begin{bmatrix} 0, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \end{bmatrix}$ 

- The gradient points in the direction of most rapid increase in intensity
- The gradient direction is given by

$$\theta = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} / \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right)$$

- how does this relate to the direction of the edge?
- The edge strength is given by the gradient magnitude

$$\|\nabla f\| = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right)^2}$$

Source: Steve Seitz

## Differentiation and convolution

• Recall, for 2D function, f(x,y):  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \left( \frac{f(x+\epsilon, y)}{\epsilon} - \frac{f(x, y)}{\epsilon} \right)$ 

• We could approximate this as

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \approx \frac{f(x_{n+1}, y) - f(x_n, y)}{\Delta x}$$

Convolution with the filter

# Finite difference filters

• Other approximations of derivative filters exist:

Prewitt:
 
$$M_x = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 ;
  $M_y = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ 

 Sobel:
  $M_x = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 \\ -2 & 0 & 2 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ 
 ;
  $M_y = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ 

 Roberts:
  $M_x = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ 
 ;
  $M_y = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ 

# Effects of noise

• Consider a single row or column of the image

- Plotting intensity as a function of position gives a signal



• Where is the edge?

Source: S. Seitz

## Solution: smooth first



Source: S. Seitz

# Derivative theorem of convolution

- Differentiation is convolution, and convolution is associative:  $\frac{d}{dx}(f*g) = f*\frac{d}{dx}g$
- This saves us one operation:



## Local descriptors

- Greyvalue derivatives
  - Convolution with Gaussian derivatives

$$\mathbf{v}(x,y) = \begin{pmatrix} I(x,y) * G(\sigma) \\ I(x,y) * G_{x}(\sigma) \\ I(x,y) * G_{y}(\sigma) \\ I(x,y) * G_{xx}(\sigma) \\ I(x,y) * G_{xy}(\sigma) \\ I(x,y) * G_{yy}(\sigma) \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} L(x,y) \\ L_{x}(x,y) \\ L_{y}(x,y) \\ L_{xy}(x,y) \\ L_{yy}(x,y) \\ L_{yy}(x,y) \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}$$

$$I(x,y) * G(\sigma) = \int_{-\infty-\infty}^{\infty} G(x',y',\sigma) I(x-x',y-y') dx' dy'$$
$$G(x,y,\sigma) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \exp(-\frac{x^2+y^2}{2\sigma^2})$$

## **Gaussian Kernel**



- Gaussian filters have infinite support, but discrete filters
   use finite kernels
- Rule of thumb: set filter half-width to about 3  $\sigma$

## Local descriptors – rotation invariance

Invariance to image rotation : differential invariants [Koen87]



## Laplacian of Gaussian (LOG)

 $LOG = G_{xx}(\sigma) + G_{yy}(\sigma)$ 



# SIFT descriptor [Lowe'99]

- Approach
  - 8 orientations of the gradient
  - 4x4 spatial grid
  - soft-assignment to spatial bins, dimension 128
  - normalization of the descriptor to norm one
  - comparison with Euclidean distance



# Local descriptors - rotation invariance

- Estimation of the dominant orientation
  - extract gradient orientation
  - histogram over gradient orientation
  - peak in this histogram
- Rotate patch in dominant direction







# Local descriptors – illumination change

• Robustness to illumination changes

in case of an affine transformation  $I_1(\mathbf{x}) = aI_2(\mathbf{x}) + b$ 

• Normalization of the image patch with mean and variance

## Invariance to scale changes

• Scale change between two images

• Scale factor s can be eliminated

- Support region for calculation!!
  - In case of a convolution with Gaussian derivatives defined by  $\sigma$

$$I(x,y) * G(\sigma) = \int_{-\infty-\infty}^{\infty} G(x',y',\sigma) I(x-x',y-y') dx' dy'$$
$$G(x,y,\sigma) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \exp(-\frac{x^2+y^2}{2\sigma^2})$$